Gerald Armstrong 715 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415)456-8450 In Propria Persona

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL,)

GERALD ARMSTRONG; MICHAEL WALTON;

corporation; DOES 1 through 100,

THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

a California not-for-profit

religious corporation,

a California for-profit

SEP 18 1995

HOWARD HANSON

MARIN COUNTY CLERK
by J. Steele, Deputy

4

3

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

.0

VS.

inclusive,

11

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

23

2425

26

27

28

FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN SEP 1 8 1995

No. 157 680 HUB LAW OFFICES

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA RECEIVED

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE
STATEMENT OF DISPUTED AND
UNDISPUTED FACTS IN
OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR
SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF
THE TWENTIETH CAUSE OF
ACTION OF THE SECOND
AMENDED COMPLAINT

Date: 9/29/95 Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept: One

Trial Date: Not Set

RESPONDING PARTY GERALD ARMSTRONG'S STATEMENT OF DISPUTED AND UNDISPUTED FACTS

Defendant Gerald Armstrong ("Armstrong") submits this separate statement in opposition to Plaintiff Church of Scientology International's ("Scientology") separate statement of undisputed facts with reference to supporting evidence pursuant to CCP Section 437c (b).

#### ISSUE NUMBER I:

Scientology's Claim: CSI Is Entitled To Summary

Adjudication Of The Twentieth Cause Of Action Because There Is No

Dispute (1) That The Parties Entered Into A Written Agreement (2)

That CSI Performed All Of Its Obligations Pursuant To The

Agreement, (3) That Armstrong Breached The Agreement Repeatedly, 1 and (4) That Armstrong Intends To Continue Breaching The 3 Agreement. 4 5 The Parties Entered Into A Written Agreement And CSI 6 Performed All Of Its Obligations Pursuant To The Agreement 7 PLAINTIFF CSI'S MATERIAL FACTS DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG'S MATERIAL 8 AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE FACTS AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE 9 10 Disputed. 11 Gerald Armstrong voluntarily entered into a Armstrong was the target 12 A. confidential Mutual Release of of Scientology's "fair game" 13 All Claims and Settlement acts from the time he left 14 Scientology until the time he 15 Agreement ("Agreement") with Church of Scientology signed the settlement 16 agreement. These fair game International ("the Church") 17 acts included, but are not on December 6, 1986. 18 19 Plaintiff's Evidence: limited to: publishing Request for Judicial "Suppressive Persons 20 1. Declares," spying on him, 21 Notice, Exhibit A, Verified assault, filing false charges Amended Complaint (hereinafter 22 with Los Angeles DA, filing "Complaint"), ¶¶ 1 and 2; 23 false charges with FBI, 24 Request for Judicial Notice Exhibit B, Answer of Gerald attempted entrapment, illegal 25 videotaping, battery by a car Armstrong and the Gerald 26 driven by a hired agent, 27 Armstrong Corporation to attempting to involve him in a 28 Amended Complaint (hereinafter "Answer"), ¶¶ 1 and 2; Request freeway "accident," filing

Page 2.

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

for Judicial Notice, Exhibit 2 C, Order Granting Summary 3 Adjudication of the Fourth and Sixth Causes of Action; 4 Request for Judicial Notice 5 6 Exhibit D, Opinion of the 7 Second District Court of 8 Appeal; Request for Judicial 9 Notice Exhibit E, Order 10 Granting Summary Adjudication 11 of the Second and Third Causes 12 of Action of Armstrong's 13 cross-complaint; Exhibit 1A, 14 Mutual Release of All Claims 15 and Settlement Agreement ("the Agreement"), page 16; Exhibit 16 17 1B, Declaration of Larry 18 Heller, ¶¶ 4 and 5, Exhibit A 19 thereto and Exhibit B thereto, 20 1:19-2:10. 21 22 23 24 25 26

false declarations,
international dissemination of
publications falsely accusing
him of crimes ("black
propaganda"), filing false
contempt of court charges
against him, disseminating
"confidential" statements made
in pastoral "counseling
sessions."

#### Defendant's Evidence

A. Armstrong was the target of Scientology's "fair game" acts from the time he left Scientology until the time he signed the settlement agreement. These fair game acts included, but are not limited to: publishing "Suppressive Persons Declares," spying on him, assault, filing false charges with Los Angeles DA, filing false charges with FBI, attempted entrapment, illegal videotaping, battery by a car driven by a hired agent, attempting to involve him in a freeway "accident," filing

Page 3.

27

28

APMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

false declarations, international dissemination of publications falsely accusing him of crimes ("black propaganda"), filing false contempt of court charges against him, disseminating "confidential" statements made in pastoral "counseling sessions." Defendant's Evidence Exhibit 1, Declaration of Gerald Armstrong in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, Authenticating Deposition Transcripts and Exhibits, 4:8-6:19, Exhibit 1(G), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong in Opposition to

Scientology's Motion for

Preliminary Injunction,

"Penalties for Lower

Conditions," Scientology Policy Letter by L. Ron Hubbard dated October 18, 1967; Exhibit 1(G)(L), "Settlement Agreement" between attorney Michael J. Flynn and his clients in December, 1986, at p. 4, (5); Exhibit 1(G)(M) Letter from Phillip Rodriguez dated November 7, 1984 purporting to authorize eavesdropping on Gerry Armstrong and Michael J. Flynn; Exhibit 1(G)(N), Public Announcement of Los Angeles Police Chief Daryl Gates dated April 23, 1985; Exhibit 1(G)(O), Letter from Los Angeles County Deputy District Attorney Robert N. Jorgenson to Scientology officials dated April 25, 1986; Exhibit 1(H), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed January 13, 1994, and Authenticating Exhibits, pp. 2,3, ¶¶ 5,6; p. 14, ¶ 15; Exhibit, 1(H)(R)(C) "Freedom" published by Scientology April/May, 1985;

Exhibit 1(H)CC), "Squirrels," Scientology Office of Special Affairs Executive Directive dated September 20, 1984; Exhibit 1(B), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed December 25, 1990, and Authenticating Exhibits, p. 1, ¶ 2; Exhibit 1(B)(O) Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed October 11, 1986, pp. 3-9, ¶¶ 3-8; Exhibit 1(B)(P), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed November 1, 1986, 2:2-3:3, 6:4-7:5, 7:25-11:12; Exhibit pages to Ex. 1(B)(P) at 22:24-26:8; Exhibit 1(I), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong Executed August 12, 1994, and Authenticating Deposition Transcripts And Exhibits, Exhibit 1(I)(AA), Suppressive Person Declare Gerry Armstrong" dated February 18, 1982; Exhibit 1(I)(BB), "Suppressive Person Declare Gerry Armstrong" dated February 18, 1982, Revised

April 22, 1982; Exhibit 1(A), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed March 15, 1990, and Authenticating Exhibits, p. 1, ¶ 1, Exhibit 1(A)(A), Memorandum of Decision dated June 20, 1984 in Scientology v. Armstrong, LA Superior Court No. C 420153, at 5:3-19; 7:9-12:9; Appendix thereto, pp. 1-15; Exhibit 1(C), Opinion of California Court of Appeal dated July 29, 1991, 283 Cal.Rptr. 917, at 920, 921, 925; Exhibit 1(A)(L), Affidavit of Gerald Armstrong, executed March 7, 1986, at p. 5, ¶ 6; Exhibit 1(E)(E), Declaration of Gerald Armstrong Regarding Alleged "Taint" of Joseph A. Yanny executed September 3, 1991 and filed in Aznaran v. Scientology, US District Court, Central District of California, Case No. CV 88-1786 JMI, pp. 3-5, ¶¶ 13-16; Scientology's Request for

Judicial Notice in Support of its Motion for Summary Adjudication of the 13th, 16th, 17th and 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, Exhibit 1(S) Declaration of Gerald Armstrong executed February 22, 1994 and filed in Scientology v. Steven Fishman, etc al., US District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 91-6426 HLH (Tx), and exhibits thereto; Scientology's Evidence in Support of Motion for Summary Adjudication of the 20th Cause of Action of Second Amended Complaint, Exhibit 1(A), Mutual Release of All Claims and Settlement Agreement; Ex. 1(H), pp. 7,8, ¶ 12.

B. Armstrong's attorney
Michael Flynn was the target
of Scientology's fair game
from 1979 through the time of
the signing of the settlement

agreements. Fair game acts against Flynn included, but are not limited to, infiltrating his office, paying known criminals to testify falsely against him, suing him and his office some fifteen times, framing him with the forgery of a \$2,000,000 check, and an international "black propaganda campaign." Defendant's Evidence Exhibit 10, Second Declaration of Gerald Armstrong in Opposition to Motion for Summary Adjudication of 13th, 16th, 17th and 19th Causes of Action of Scientology's Second Amended Complaint executed September 9, 1995, 8:18-9:14; Ex. 1, 6:20-7:7; Ex. 1(G), 9:6-24; Ex. 1(B), p. 1, ¶ 2, pp. 3,4, ¶8, pp. 5,5, ¶11; Ex. 1(B)(O), pp. 60-74; Ex. 1(H), pp. 8,9, ¶ 12; Exhibit 7, Declaration of Jonathan Atack in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th

Cause of Action; and 13th,

16th, 17th & 19th Causes of

Action of Second Amended

Complaint, and Authenticating

Exhibits, executed April 9,

1995, p. 4, ¶16; Ex. 1(G)(L),

pp. 4,5, (5); Ex. 1(G)(M); Ex.

1(G)(N); Ex. 1(G)(O), Ex.

1(H)(R)(C).

C. Flynn told Armstrong that if he didn't sign
Scientology's settlement agreement he would be the target of more fair game.

# <u>Defendant's Evidence</u>

Ex. 1, 9:1-15; Ex. 1(G), 9:6-12; Ex. 1(B), pp. 3,4, ¶ 8, p. 5, ¶ 11.

D. Flynn told Armstrong that the other some fifteen people involved in the "global settlement" would continue to be attacked by Scientology if he didn't sign.

#### Defendant's Evidence

Ex. 1, pp. 8,9, ¶ 18; Ex. 1(G), 9:6-12, 10:21-11:28; Ex.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Armstrong received a portion of a total sum paid to 13 14 his attorney, Michael Flynn, in settlement of all claims of 15 Mr. Flynn's clients. 16 17 Plaintiff's Evidence: Complaint ¶13; Answer, ¶13; 18 19 Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit C, Order Granting 20 21 Summary Adjudication of the Fourth and Sixth Causes of 22 Action; Exhibit 1A, Mutual 23 24 Release of All Claims and 25 Settlement Agreement, ¶3. 26 27 3. Armstrong received 28 approximately \$800,000.00 from

Michael Flynn as his portion

Page 11.

1(B), pp. 3,4, ¶ 8, p. 5, ¶ 11.

- Flynn had another client yell at Armstrong when Armstrong objected to the language of the "agreement." Defendant's Evidence Ex. 1(G), 9:15-19; Ex. 1(B), p. 4, ¶ 8.
- Disputed. The total sum 2. paid to Flynn was additionally in consideration of settlement of Flynn's own claims. Defendant's Evidence Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit 1C, Exhibit B thereto, "Settlement Agreement."

3.

Undisputed, but irrelevant. Scientology did not know how much Armstrong

of the total settlement sum paid by CSI to Mr. Flynn for Flynn's settling clients.

### Plaintiff's Evidence:

3. Exhibit 1C, Declaration
of Graham Berry, and Exhibit B
thereto; Exhibit 1D Marin
Independent Journal, November
11, 1992, article entitled,
"Is Money The Root of Our
Problems?"

was receiving. As far as
Scientology knew, it could
have been \$0.00, it could have
been all the funds Scientology
paid to Flynn, or any monetary
figure in between.

Defendant's Evidence

Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit

1A, p. 2, ¶ 3; Plaintiff's

Exhibit 1C, Exhibit B thereto.

4. Undisputed.

4. Paragraph 7(E) of the

Agreement provides:"...

Plaintiff agrees to return to

the Church of Scientology

7 International at the time of

the consummation of this

agreement, all materials in

his possession, custody or

control (or within the

2 possession, custody or control

of his attorney, as well as

4 | third parties who are in

possession of the described

6 documents), of any nature,

including originals and all

copies or summaries of

documents defined in Appendix

'A' to this Agreement, 1 2 including but not limited to 3 any tapes, computer disks, films, photographs, 4 recastings, variations or 5 6 copies of any such materials 7 which concern or relate to the 8 religion of Scientology, L. 9 Ron Hubbard, or any of the organizations, individuals or 10 11 entities listed in Paragraph 1 12 above, all evidence of any 13 nature, including evidence obtained from the named 14 15 defendants through discovery, 16 acquired for the purposes of 17 this lawsuit or any lawsuit, 18 or acquired for any purpose 19 concerning any Church of 20 Scientology, any financial or 21 administrative materials 22 concerning any Church of 23 Scientology, and any materials 24 relating personally to L. Ron 25 Hubbard, his family or estate. ... To the extent that 26 27 Plaintiff does not possess or 28 control documents within categories A-C above,

1 Plaintiff recognizes his 2 continuing duty to return to 3 CSI any and all documents that fall within categories A-C 4 above which do in the future 5 come into his possession or 6 7 control." 8 Plaintiff's Evidence: 9 4. Exhibit 1A, Mutual 10 Release of All Claims and 11 Settlement Agreement, ¶7(E). 12 13 5. Paragraph 7(D) of the Agreement provides that 14 "Plaintiff agrees never to 15 16 create or publish or attempt 17 to publish, and/or assist 18 another to create for 19 publication by means of 20 magazine, article, book or 21 other similar form, any 22 writing or to broadcast or to assist another to create, 23 24 write, film or video tape or 25 audio tape any show, program or movie, or to grant 26 27 interviews or discuss with 28 others, concerning their

experiences with the Church of

5. Undisputed.

Scientology, or concerning
their personal or indirectly
acquired knowledge or
information concerning the
Church of Scientology, L. Ron
Hubbard or any of the
organizations, individuals and
entities listed in Paragraph 1
above. Plaintiff further
agrees that he will maintain
strict confidentiality and
silence with respect to his
experiences with the Church of
Scientology and any knowledge
or information he may have
concerning the Church of
Scientology, L. Ron Hubbard,
or any of the organizations,
individuals and entities
listed in Paragraph 1 above.
Plaintiff expressly
understands that the non-
disclosure provisions of this
subparagraph shall apply,
inter alia, but not be
limited, to the contents or
substance of his complaint on
file in the action referred to
in Paragraph 1 hereinabove or

1	any documents as defined in
2	Appendix "A" to this
3	Agreement, including but not
4	limited to any tapes, films,
5	photographs, recastings,
6	variations or copies of any
7	such materials which concern
8	or relate to the religion of
9	Scientology, L. Ron Hubbard,
10	or any of the organizations,
11	individuals and entities
12	listed in Paragraph 1 above.
13	The attorneys for Plaintiff,
14	subject to the ethical
15	limitations restraining them
16	as promulgated by the state or
17	federal regulatory
18	associations or agencies,
19	agree not to disclose any of
20	the terms and conditions of
21	the settlement negotiations,
22	amount of the settlement, or
23	statements made by either
24	party during the settlement
25	conferences. Plaintiff agrees
26	that if the terms of this
27	paragraph are breached by him,
28	that CSI and the other
	Releasees would be entitled to
-	

liquidated damages in the 1 2 amount of \$50,000 for each 3 such breach. All monies received to induce or in 5 payment for a breach of this Agreement, or any part 6 7 thereof, shall be held in a 8 constructive trust pending the 9 outcome of any litigation over said breach. The amount of 10 liquidated damages herein is 11 an estimate of the damages 12 13 that each party would suffer in the event this Agreement is 14 breached. The reasonableness 15 of the amount of such damages 16 are hereto acknowledged by 17 Plaintiff." 18 19 Plaintiff's Evidence: Exhibit 1A Mutual Release 20 of All Claims and Settlement 21 22 Agreement, ¶7(D). 23 Paragraph 7(G) of the 6. Undisputed. 24 6. Agreement provides, "Plaintiff 25 26 agrees that he will not 27 voluntarily assist or 28 cooperate with any person

adverse to Scientology in any

Page 17.

1 proceeding against any of the 2 Scientology organizations, individuals or entities listed 3 in Paragraph 1 above . 4 5 Plaintiff agrees that he will not cooperate in any manner 6 with any organizations aligned 7 8 against Scientology." 9 Plaintiff's Evidence: 6. Exhibit 1A Mutual Release 10 11 of All Claims and Settlement Agreement, ¶7(D). 12 13 Undisputed. Paragraph 7(H) of the 14 7. 7. Agreement provides, "Plaintiff 15 agrees not to testify or 16 17 otherwise participate in any other judicial, administrative 18 19 or legislative proceeding adverse to Scientology or any 20 of the Scientology Churches, 21 individuals or entities listed 22 in Paragraph 1 above unless 23 24 compelled to do so by lawful 25 subpoena or other lawful 26 process. Plaintiff shall not 27 make himself amenable to 28 service of any such subpoena in a manner which invalidates

1 the intent of this provision. 2 Unless required to do so by 3 such subpoena, Plaintiff 4 agrees not to discuss this 5 litigation or his experiences 6 with and knowledge of the 7 Church with anyone other than 8 members of his immediate family." 9 10 Plaintiff's Evidence: 11 Exhibit 1A Mutual Release of All Claims and Settlement 12 13 Agreement, ¶7(H). 14 15 8. Paragraph 10 of the 8. Undisputed. Agreement provides, "Plaintiff 16 17 agrees that he will not assist or advise anyone, including 18 19 individuals, partnerships, associations, corporations or 20 governmental agencies 21 contemplating any claim or 22 engaged in litigation or 23 24 involved in or contemplating 25 any activity adverse to the 26 interests of any entity or 27 class of persons listed above 28 in Paragraph 1 of this

Agreement."

1	Dlaintiff/a Ewidence
	<u>Plaintiff's Evidence</u> :
2	8. Exhibit 1A Mutual Release
3	of All Claims and Settlement
4	Agreement, ¶10.
5	
6	9. Paragraph 18(D) of the 9. Undisputed.
7	Agreement provides, "The
8	Parties hereto and their
9	respective attorneys each
10	agree not to disclose the
11	contents of this executed
12	Agreement. Nothing herein
13	shall be construed to prevent
14	any party hereto or his
15	respective attorney from
16	stating that this civil action
17	has been settled in its
18	entirety."
19	Plaintiff's Evidence:
20	9. Exhibit 1A Mutual Release
21	of All Claims and Settlement
22	Agreement, ¶18(D).
23	
24	10. Paragraph 20 of the 10. Undisputed.
25	Agreement provides,
26	"Notwithstanding the dismissal
27	of the lawsuit pursuant to
28	Paragraph 4 of this Agreement,
	the parties hereto agree that

the Los Angeles Superior Court 2 shall retain jurisdiction to 3 enforce the terms of this Agreement. This Agreement may 4 5 be enforced by any legal or 6 equitable remedy, including 7 but not limited to injunctive 8 relief or declaratory judgment 9 where appropriate. In the 10 event any party to this 11 Agreement institutes any 12 action to preserve, to protect 13 or to enforce any right or benefit created hereunder, the 14 15 prevailing party in any such action shall be entitled to 16 17 the costs of suit and 18 reasonable attorney's fees." Plaintiff's Evidence: 19 10. Exhibit 1A Mutual Release 20 of All Claims and Settlement 21 22 Agreement, ¶20. 23 24 B. Armstrong Breached The Agreement By Voluntarily Providing Aid To Adverse Litigants And Claimants In Violation Of Paragraph 25 26 7(G), 7(H), 10 and 18(D) Of The Agreement. 27 Vicki and Richard Aznaran 11. Undisputed. are former Church members and,

Page 21.

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

in 1991, were actively 1 2 litigating against several 3 Churches of Scientology. Plaintiff's Evidence: 4 5 11. Complaint, ¶ 18; Answer, 6 ¶18; Exhibit 1E, Deposition of 7 Gerald Armstrong, Vol II, July 8 22, 1992, 183:1-6; Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit 9 10 F, Complaint in the United States District Court for the 11 Central District of 12 13 California, Case No. CV 88-1786 JMI(Ex), Vicki J. 14 15 Aznaran, et al. v. Church of 16 Scientology of California, et 17 al. 18 Undisputed. 19 12. On August 21, 1991, 12. Armstrong wrote to attorney 20 Eric Lieberman, counsel for 21 22 CSI, "There was no reason to videotape me as proof that I 23 24 was associating with Ford 25 Green. I had spoken the day 26 before with two of your fellow 27 org lawyers, Laurie Bartilson and Bill Drescher, and two men 28

from SO legal liaison staff,

Howard Gutfeld and August 1 2 Murphy, and from none of whom had I withheld the fact that I 3 was helping Mr. Greene...Mr. 4 5 Murphy spent some time in Mr. 6 Greene's office and we spoke 7 for a few minutes. I am quite certain he left with the 8 9 impression that I was helping Mr. Greene, and specifically 10 11 in the Aznaran case since, in addition to my saying so, he 12 did observe me carrying into 13 Mr. Greene's office two boxes 14 15 containing the mega-copies of the two Oppositions to Summary 16 17 Judgment Motions (Statute of Limitations and First 18 Amendment) and related 19 documents, and he did hear me 20 21 lament that his organization had cost Mr. Greene that very 22 day over seven hundred dollars 23 24 in copying costs." Plaintiff's Evidence: 25 Letter of August 21, 26 27 1991 from Gerald Armstrong to

Eric Lieberman, Exhibit 1F.

1	13. On September 4, 1991, 13. Undisputed.	
2	Ford Greene signed a	
3	declaration for filing in the	
4	Aznaran case stating, "I am	
5	grateful for the on-going	
6	assistance that I have	
7	received from Gerry Armstrong.	
8	While I have worked - at times	
9	around the clock - he has	
10	assembled the product of my	
11	labors and ensured that were	
12	prepared for filing and	
13	service."	
14	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
15	13. Declaration of Ford	
16	Greene, September 4, 1991,	
17	Exhibit 1G; ¶7.	
18		
19	14. On August 26, 1991, 14. Undisputed.	
20	Armstrong voluntarily signed a	
21	declaration for filing in the	
22	Aznaran case containing	
23	statements regarding his	
24	alleged experiences with and	
25	knowledge of the Church and L.	
- 1		
26	Ron Hubbard.	
26	Ron Hubbard.  Plaintiff's Evidence:	

1 322:19-323:7, 324:5-10, 2 324:21-23, 325:1-10, 325:17-3 326:3, 327:8-10, and Exhibit 11 thereto; Exhibit 1I, 4 5 Armstrong Declaration, August 26, 1991; Complaint, ¶¶ 37 and 6 7 59; Answer, ¶¶ 37 and 59. 8 15. On September 3, 1991, 9 15. Undisputed. Armstrong voluntarily signed a 10 declaration for filing in the 11 Aznaran case stating, "I aid 12 13 Mr. Greene out of my own free 14 will and my sense of right and 15 wrong ... My help to Ford Greene in all of the papers 16 17 recently filed has been in proofreading, copying, 18 19 collating, hole-punching, 20 stapling, stamping, packaging, 21 labeling, air freighting and 22 mailing. Mr. Greene and I have had several conversations 23 24 during this period, some of which have certainly concerned 25 26 the litigation." 27 Plaintiff's Evidence: 28 15. Declaration of Gerald

Armstrong, September 3, 1991,

1	¶¶ 5 and 18, Exhibit 1J.	
2		
3	16. On October 8, 1992, 16. Undisputed	•
4	Armstrong testified that since	
5	July 22, 1992, he had broadly	
6	discussed with the Aznarans	
7	matters concerning their case,	
8	and had relayed communications	
9	between the Aznarans and Ford	
10	Greene.	
11	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
12	16. Armstrong Depo., Vol IV,	
13	448:9-449:4, Exhibit 1K.	
14		
15	17. In July, 1991, the Church 17. Undisputed	
12	1.1 In Sulf, 1991, one onation 1.1 shallpassa	
16	and related Church entities	
16	and related Church entities	
16 17	and related Church entities filed a complaint against	
16 17 18	and related Church entities  filed a complaint against  their former attorney Joseph	
16 17 18 19	and related Church entities  filed a complaint against  their former attorney Joseph  A. Yanny.	
16 17 18 19 20	and related Church entities  filed a complaint against  their former attorney Joseph  A. Yanny.  Plaintiff's Evidence:	
16 17 18 19 20 21	and related Church entities  filed a complaint against  their former attorney Joseph  A. Yanny.  Plaintiff's Evidence:  17. Request for Judicial	
16 17 18 19 20 21	and related Church entities  filed a complaint against  their former attorney Joseph  A. Yanny.  Plaintiff's Evidence:  17. Request for Judicial  Notice, Exhibit G, Complaint,	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and related Church entities  filed a complaint against  their former attorney Joseph  A. Yanny.  Plaintiff's Evidence:  17. Request for Judicial  Notice, Exhibit G, Complaint,  Exhibit 1G, Religion	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and related Church entities  filed a complaint against  their former attorney Joseph  A. Yanny.  Plaintiff's Evidence:  17. Request for Judicial  Notice, Exhibit G, Complaint,  Exhibit 1G, Religion  Technology Center et al. v.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	and related Church entities  filed a complaint against  their former attorney Joseph  A. Yanny.  Plaintiff's Evidence:  17. Request for Judicial  Notice, Exhibit G, Complaint,  Exhibit 1G, Religion  Technology Center et al. v.  Joseph A. Yanny, et al., Los	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	and related Church entities  filed a complaint against  their former attorney Joseph  A. Yanny.  Plaintiff's Evidence:  17. Request for Judicial  Notice, Exhibit G, Complaint,  Exhibit 1G, Religion  Technology Center et al. v.  Joseph A. Yanny, et al., Los  Angeles Superior Court, Case	

1 18. On July 16, 1991, at the 18. Disputed. 2 offices of Joseph Yanny, The RTC v. Yanny case was not filed until July 18, 1991. 3 Armstrong voluntarily prepared 4 and executed a declaration Defendant's Evidence which Armstrong then left with Plaintiff's Request for 5 Yanny, with the expectation Judicial Notice, Exhibit G, 6 7 that Yanny would use it and Yanny complaint. file it in court in RTC v. 8 9 Yanny. Plaintiff's Evidence: 10 11 18. Armstrong Depo., Vol III, 311:3-312:20, Exhibit 1L; 12 Declaration of Gerald 13 Armstrong, July 16, 1991, 15 Exhibit 1M. 16 17 19. In this declaration 19. Undisputed. Armstrong discussed the 18 19 contents of his settlement 20 agreements between CSI and 21 other litigants represented by 22 Michael Flynn, and alleged circumstances of the 23 24 settlements. Armstrong 25 attached a copy of his settlement agreement to this 26 27 declaration.

19.

Plaintiff's Evidence:

Declaration of Gerald

1	Armstrong, July 16, 1991,
2	Exhibit 1M.
3	
4	20. On July 19, 1991, 20. Undisputed.
5	Armstrong voluntarily signed a
6	handwritten declaration and
7	provided it to Joseph Yanny.
8	In the declaration, which
9	Yanny filed, Armstrong
10	admitted that Yanny called him
11	on July 19, 1991, and asked
12	for Armstrong's help in
13	Yanny's representation of the
14	Aznarans against CSI.
15	Armstrong stated that he
16	agreed to help Yanny with the
17	Aznarans' case and that he
18	would travel to Los Angeles
19	and did stay with Yanny on
20	July 15 and 16, 1991.
21	Plaintiff's Evidence:
22	20. Declaration of Gerald
23	Armstrong, July 19, 1991, ¶¶
24	2,3 and 9 Exhibit 1N.
25	
26	21. Malcolm Nothling is an 21. Undisputed.
27	anti-Scientology litigant who
28	is suing Church of Scientology
	entities in South Africa.

APMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 28.

Plaintiff's Evidence: 1 2 Letter from Gerald 21. 3 Armstrong to Eric Lieberman dated June 21, 1991, Ex. 10. 5 6 22. In June, 1991, Armstrong 22. Undisputed. agreed to travel to South 8 Africa to testify on behalf of 9 Mr. Nothling. In August, 1991, he flew to South Africa 10 at Mr. Nothling's expense and, 11 with Mr. Nothling and his 12 13 attorneys, prepared to testify at Mr. Nothling's trial. 14 Armstrong did not receive a 15 16 subpoena compelling his 17 testimony prior to flying to South Africa. 18 Plaintiff's Evidence: 19 22. Letter from Gerald 20 21 Armstrong to Eric Lieberman 22 dated June 21, 1991, Ex. 10; Armstrong Depo., Vol. VII, pp. 23 901:15 - 903:20, Ex. 1P. 24 25 Disputed. 26 23. In December, 1992, 23. Armstrong's letter of December 27 Armstrong sent a letter to 22, 1992 is not a demand, but CSI's counsel in which he made 28 an effort to resolve his own settlement demands on behalf

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 29.

of Mr. Nothling.

## Plaintiff's Evidence:

23. Letter from Gerald
Armstrong dated December 22,
1992, Ex. 1Q; Armstrong Depo.,
Vol. VII, 908:8 - 914:5, Ex.
1P.

"fair game," and to bring
peace to Scientology as well
as himself and Scientology's
fair game targets. LA
Superior Court Judge Diane
Wayne ruled in discharging
Scientology's contempts
against Armstrong that his
letter "when read in its
totality"... "does not amount
to activity which "assists" in
litigation on behalf of
Roberts." The same is true of
Malcolm Nothling.

Defendant's Evidence
Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit
1Q, Armstrong letter; Ex.
1(J)(L), Order of Judge Diane
Wayne filed July 28, 1994 in
Scientology v. Armstrong, LASC
No. BC 052395 (now Marin SC
No. 157680), p. 2, ¶3;
Scientology's Evidence,
Exhibit 1EEEE, Declaration of
Gerald Armstrong executed
February 3, 1993.

24. Disputed.

24. In August, 1994,

Page 30.

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

		~
1	Armstrong again made plans to	Armstrong stated that he would
2	voluntarily travel to South	only testify pursuant to
3	Africa and testify against the	subpoena.
4	South African Church on behalf	Defendant's Evidence
5	of Mr. Nothling.	Plaintiff's Evidence, Ex. 1P.
6	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
7	24. Armstrong Depo., Vol.	
8	VII, 914:6 - 917:18, Ex. 1P.	
9		
10	25. In early 1992, CSI was	25. Undisputed.
11	involved in litigation in	
12	several European countries	
13	with Readers' Digest.	
14	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
15	25. Armstrong Depo., Vol.	
16	II, pp. 282-285, Ex. 1R.	
17		
18	26. In February, 1992,	26. Undisputed.
19	Armstrong voluntarily gave	
20	attorneys for Readers' Digest	
21	an affidavit in which he	0
22	discussed at length his	
23	purported knowledge of and	
24	experiences in Scientology.	
25	In the affidavit, Armstrong	
26	stated, "In delivering this	
27	testimony I know that it is	
28	destined to be produced in	
	Court."	
- 11		

Page 31.

Plaintiff's Evidence:		
26. Armstrong Affidavit of		
February 19, 1992, Ex. 1S,		
¶14.		
27. Richard Behar is the	27.	Undisputed.
author of a cover story		
printed in the May 1991 issue		
of <u>Time</u> magazine regarding the		
Church of Scientology. CSI		
filed a complaint for		
defamation against <u>Time</u> and		
Behar on April 27, 1992, as a		
result of false statements		
contained in Behar's article.		
Armstrong contacted Behar by		
phone and spoke to him as he		
was aware that CSI was in		
litigation with <u>Time</u> .		
Plaintiff's Evidence:		
27. Armstrong Depo, Vol III,		
387:1-14; Request for		
Judicial Notice, Exhibit F,		
Complaint in the United States		
District Court of the Southern		
District of New York, Case No.		
92 Civ 3024, Church of		
Scientology International v.		
Time Warner Inc., Time		
	26. Armstrong Affidavit of February 19, 1992, Ex. 1S, ¶14.  27. Richard Behar is the author of a cover story printed in the May 1991 issue of Time magazine regarding the Church of Scientology. CSI filed a complaint for defamation against Time and Behar on April 27, 1992, as a result of false statements contained in Behar's article. Armstrong contacted Behar by phone and spoke to him as he was aware that CSI was in litigation with Time.  Plaintiff's Evidence:  27. Armstrong Depo, Vol III, 387:1-14; Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit F, Complaint in the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York, Case No. 92 Civ 3024, Church of Scientology International v.	26. Armstrong Affidavit of February 19, 1992, Ex. 1S, ¶14.  27. Richard Behar is the 27. author of a cover story printed in the May 1991 issue of Time magazine regarding the Church of Scientology. CSI filed a complaint for defamation against Time and Behar on April 27, 1992, as a result of false statements contained in Behar's article. Armstrong contacted Behar by phone and spoke to him as he was aware that CSI was in litigation with Time.  Plaintiff's Evidence: 27. Armstrong Depo, Vol III, 387:1-14; Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit F, Complaint in the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York, Case No. 92 Civ 3024, Church of Scientology International v.

1	Magazine Co. and Richard
2	Behar.
3	
4	28. In 1992, Armstrong 28. Undisputed.
5	voluntarily sent Richard Behar
6	a copy of the affidavit which
7	he had executed for the
8	Readers' Digest litigation.
9	Plaintiff's Evidence:
10	28. Armstrong Depo, Vol IV,
11	pp. 420:18 - 421:9, Ex. 1U.
12	
13	29. The World Institute of 29. Undisputed.
14	Scientology Enterprises
15	("WISE") was a named defendant
16	in <u>Hunziker v. Applied</u>
17	Materials et a., Santa Clara
18	Superior Court, Case No.
19	692629 (" <u>Hunziker</u> "). WISE is
20	a Church of Scientology
21	affiliated entity and thus a
22	"Releasee" under the
23	Agreement.
24	Plaintiff's Evidence:
25	29. Complaint, ¶ 47; Answer,
26	¶ 47-48; Mutual Release of All
27	Claims and Settlement
28	Agreement ¶ 1, Exhibit 1A.

1	30. In 1992, Armstrong was 30. Undisputed.
2	retained by Hunziker's lawyers
3	as an "expert" consultant on
4	the subject of Scientology.
5	Plaintiff's Evidence:
6	30. Armstrong letter to
7	Rummonds, Exhibit 1V.
8	
9	31. On February 21, 1992, 31. Undisputed.
10	Armstrong voluntarily met with
11	attorney James Rummonds,
12	counsel for plaintiffs in
13	<u>Hunziker</u> . In this meeting
14	Armstrong discussed his
15	"history in the organization,
16	the settlement agreement, the
17	effect of the settlement
18	agreement" and his
19	knowledge of and experience
20	with the Church of
21	Scientology.
22	Plaintiff's Evidence:
23	31. Complaint, ¶ 48; Answer,
24	¶ 48; Armstrong Depo, Vol III,
25	392:17-394:21, 398:5-18,
26	Exhibit 1W; Armstrong Depo,
27	Vol I, <u>Hunziker v. Applied</u>
28	<u>Materials</u> , 87:13-88:2, 93:7-
	14, Exhibit 1X.
- 1	

1	32. Armstrong met with John	32.	Undisputed.
2	C. Elstead, attorney for		
3	plaintiff in <u>Hunziker</u> on		
4	February 23, 1992. On that		
5	date, Armstrong discussed with		
6	Elstead his knowledge of and		
7	experience with the Church of		
8	Scientology.		
9	Plaintiff's Evidence:		
10	32. Complaint, ¶ 48; Answer,		
11	¶ 48; Armstrong Depo, Vol I,		
12	Hunziker v. Applied Materials,		
13	144:15-147:8, Exhibit 1X.		
14			
15	33. On March 8, 1992,	33.	Undisputed.
16	Armstrong met again with		
17	attorney John Elstead and		
18	provided him approximately 500		
19	pages of documents relating to		
20	the Scientology religion and		
21	the Church of Scientology.		
22	Plaintiff's Evidence:		
23	33. Complaint, ¶ 51; Answer,		
24	¶ 51; Armstrong Depo, Vol III,		
25	402:5-405:13, Exhibit 1W.		
26			
27	34. On May 27, 1992,	34.	Undisputed.
28	Armstrong met with attorneys		
	Jerold Fagelbaum and Gary		
	Page 35.	ARMSTRO	ong's separate statement re summary jud
	7507 AVA		

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1	Bright, attorneys for David
2	Mayo and the Church of the New
3	Civilization in the
4	consolidated cases of
5	Religious Technology Center et
6	al. v. Robin Scott et al.
7	United States District Court
8	for the Central District of
9	California, Case No. CV 85-711
10	JMI(Bx), and Religious
11	Technology Center et al. v.
12	Larry Wollersheim et al.,
13	United States District Court
14	for the Central District of
15	California, Case No. CV 85-
16	7197 JMI(Bx). At the time,
17	Fagelbaum and Bright were
18	litigating a cross-claim in
19	that case against <u>inter</u> alia,
20	CSI.
21	Plaintiff's Evidence:
22	34. Armstrong Depo, Vol II,
23	214:20-216:24, Exhibit 1Y.
24	
25	35. At his meeting with 35. Undisputed.
26	Fagelbaum and Bright,
27	Armstrong voluntarily executed
28	a declaration purporting to
	authenticate an affidavit

Page 36.

1 describing Armstrong's alleged 2 experiences with the Church. 3 Plaintiff's Evidence: 35. Answer, ¶¶ 68 and 69; 4 Armstrong Depo, Vol II, 5 219:17-226:25, Exhibit 1Y; 6 7 Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, May 27, 1992, 8 Exhibit 1Z. 9 10 11 36. Tilly Good, Denise 36. Undisputed. Cantine and Ed Roberts are 12 13 former Scientology parishioners, each of whom 14 15 have pressed claims against 16 one or more Churches of 17 Scientology. 18 Plaintiff's Evidence: 19 36. Tilly Good Demand Letter, Exhibit 1AA; Denise Cantine 20 21 Demand Letter, Exhibit 1BB; Ed 22 Roberts Demand Letter, Exhibit 1CC. 23 24 25 37. While working in Ford Disputed. 37. 26 Greene's office, Armstrong Armstrong is permitted by the voluntarily provided aid and May 28, 1992 partial 27 injunction to render clerical 28 assistance to Tilly Good, Denise Cantine and Ed Roberts and paralegal services. There

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 37.

concerning their claims against Churches of Scientology.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff's Evidence:
Armstrong Depo, Vol IV, 451458, Exhibit 1DD; Armstrong
letter of Dec. 22, 1992,
Exhibit 1Q, pp. 6-7.

is no evidence that he has
done anything other than that
regarding the Good, Cantin &
Roberts matters. Judge Wayne
ruled in discharging
Scientology's contempts
against Armstrong that
regarding the Aznaran
litigation, where Scientology
also claimed Armstrong
assisted the plaintiffs:

"no where is it suggested that any of those conversations were for the purpose of "assisting" in their claims. And, it appears that any such conversation could have been associated with his ministerial duties as a paralegal in the office of his employer. It should be noted that the Order specifically permits Respondent to engage in such employment and does not "wall" him off from all such litigation."

Armstrong's letter of December 22, 1992 is not a demand, but an effort to resolve his own litigation and the threat of "fair game", and to bring peace to Scientology as well

28

Page 38.

1 as himself and Scientology's 2 fair game targets. 3 Defendant's Evidence 4 Ex. 1(J)(L); Plaintiff's 5 Evidence, Exhibit 1DD; Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit 6 7 1Q; Scientology's Request for 8 Judicial Notice, Exhibit P, 9 pp. 2,3, § 6. 10 11 38. The Cult Awareness 38. Undisputed. Network ("CAN") and its 12 Executive Director, Cynthia 13 Kisser, have brought three law 14 suits against CSI and various 15 Scientology related entities 16 and individuals. 17 Plaintiff's Evidence: 18 19 38. Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit I, Complaint 20 in <u>Cult Awareness Network v.</u> 21 Church of Scientology 22 International, et al., Circuit 23 Court of Cook Co., Illinois, 24 No. 94L804; Ex. J, Cynthia Kisser v. Chicago Crusader et 26 al., Circuit Court of Cook 27 County, Illinois, No. 28 92L08593; Ex. K, Cynthia

Page 39.

1	Kisser v. Coalition for	
2	Religious Freedom, et al.,	
3	United States District Court	
4	for the North District of	
5	Illinois, Eastern Division,	
6	No. 92C4508.	
7		
8	39. Armstrong has voluntarily	39. Disputed.
9	provided aid and assistance to	The letter Armstrong wrote to
10	CAN and its attorneys,	Leipold concerns Armstrong's
11	Hagenbaugh and Murphy, in	request for assistance from
12	their litigation against CSI	CAN in the instant litigation
13	and other Releasees.	where Scientology was seeking
14	Plaintiff's Evidence:	to have Armstrong jailed for
15	39. Letter from Gerald	contempt of court.
16	Armstrong to Daniel Leipold,	Armstrong's deposition
17	January 11, 1993, Exhibit 1EE;	testimony concerns only the
18	Armstrong Depo., Vol. VIII,	suggestion that CAN invite two
19	pp. 1036-1038, Exhibit 1FF.	people to its annual
20		convention.
21		Defendant's Evidence
22		Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibits
23		1EE and 1FF.
24		
25	40. Lawrence Wollersheim has	40. Undisputed.
26	been a litigant actively	
27	pursuing a claim against the	
28	Church of Scientology of	
	California ("CSC") since 1980.	

1	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
2	40. Request for Judicial	
3	Notice, Exhibit L, Complaint,	
4	Wollersheim v. Church of	
5	Scientology of California,	
6	LASC No. C332327.	
7		
8	41. In February, 1993, CSC	41. Undisputed.
9	brought an action for	
10	equitable relief from judgment	
11	due to judicial bias which	
12	named Wollersheim as a	
13	defendant.	
14	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
15	41. Request for Judicial	
16	Notice, Exhibit M, Complaint,	
17	Church of Scientology of	
18	California v. Wollersheim,	
19	LASC No. BC074815.	
20		
21	42. In 1993, Armstrong	42. Undisputed.
22	voluntarily provided aid and	
23	assistance to Wollersheim and	
24	his attorneys, Daniel Leipold,	
25	Hagenbaugh & Murphy and Mark	
26	Goldowitz.	
27	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
28	42. Armstrong letters to	
- 1	Goldowitz, June - September,	

Page 41.

1 1993, Exhibit 1GG; Armstrong 2 Depo., Vol. VI, pp. 740-746, 749-750, Exhibit 1HH. 3 43. Ron Lawley is an anti-4 43. Undisputed. Scientology litigant adverse 5 to Scientology-affiliated 6 7 entities in the case of 1984 8 S.No.1675 Scientology AOSHEU & 9 Af -v- Scott, et al., and 1986 C No. Scientology RECI -v-10 11 Carter, et al., High Court London, England. 12 13 Plaintiff's Evidence: 43. Request for Judicial 14 15 Notice, Exhibit N, Writ 16 Summons and Statement of Claim between the Church of 17 Scientology Advanced 18 19 Organization Saint Hill Europe and Africa and Robin Scott, 20 21 Ron Lawley, et al. in the High 22 Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division, London, 23 24 England, dated March 22, 1984; 25 Armstrong Depo., Vol. VII, pp. 857-861, Exhibit 1II. 26 27 28 44. In or about January and 44. Undisputed. February, 1994, Armstrong

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 42.

1	voluntarily agreed to testify
2	against Scientology at
3	Lawley's upcoming trial, and
4	furnished an affidavit
5	concerning his alleged
6	Scientology knowledge and
7	experiences to Lawley and
8	Lawley's counsel.
9	Plaintiff's Evidence:
10	44. Armstrong Depo., Vol.
11	VII, pp. 857-861, Exhibit 1II;
12	Affidavit of Gerald Armstrong,
13	February 7, 1994, Ex. 1JJ.
14	
15	45. Steven Fishman and Uwe 45. Undisputed.
16	Geertz are defendants in an
17	action brought by the Church
18	of Scientology International
19	for defamation.
20	Plaintiff's Evidence:
21	45. Request for Judicial
22	Notice, Exhibit N, Complaint,
23	Church of Scientology
24	<u>International v. Steven</u>
25	Fishman, etc al., United
26	States District Court for the
27	Central District of
28	California, Case No. 91-6426
	HLH (Tx).
11	

Page 43.

1	46. Armstrong agreed to be a 46. Undisputed.
2	trial witness for Fishman and
3	Geertz and, in 1994, provided
4	assistance and declarations
5	about his claimed Scientology
6	knowledge and experiences to
7	Geertz's counsel.
8	Plaintiff's Evidence:
9	46. Armstrong Depo., Vol. VI,
10	pp. 782-789, Vol. VIII, pp.
11	1046, 1058, Exhibit 1KK;
12	Declaration of Gerald
13	Armstrong and dated February
14	22, 1994, Exhibit 1LL;
15	Declaration of Gerald
16	Armstrong and dated April 21,
17	1994, Exhibit 1MM; Armstrong
18	letter to Graham Berry, dated
19	January 27, 1994, Exhibit 1NN.
20	
21	C. Armstrong Breached The Agreement By Discussing His Claimed
22	Experiences In And Knowledge Of Scientology With Media
23	Representatives In Violation Of Paragraph 7(D) Of The
24	Agreement.
25	
26	47. On March 20, 1992, 47. Undisputed.
27	Armstrong and his counsel,
28	Ford Greene, provided a
	videotaped interview to
	Page 44.

1	reporter Don Knapp of CNN.
2	Plaintiff's Evidence:
3	47. Complaint, ¶ 44; Answer,
4	¶ 44; Exhibit 100, Armstrong
5	Depo, Vol. III, 341:24 -
6	344:14, 345:10-16.
7	
8	48. In the CNN interview, 48. Undisputed.
9	Armstrong discussed his
10	knowledge of the Church of
11	Scientology and L. Ron Hubbard
12	which he had gained through
13	his experiences with the
14	Church of Scientology.
15	Plaintiff's Evidence:
16	48. Complaint, ¶ 44; Answer,
17	¶ 44; Exhibit 1PP, Transcript
18	of CNN Broadcast; Exhibit 100,
19	Deposition of Gerald
20	Armstrong, Vol III, 343:19-
21	344:4; Request for Judicial
22	Notice, Exhibit E, Order
23	Granting Summary Adjudication.
24	
25	49. Armstrong and his 49. Undisputed.
26	counsel, Ford Greene, were
27	interviewed by reporter
28	William Horne of The American
	<u>Lawyer</u> magazine. Armstrong
- 11	

1	made statements concerning his
2	knowledge of and experiences
3	with the Church of Scientology
4	during that interview.
5	Plaintiff's Evidence:
6	49. Exhibit 1QQ, Armstrong
7	Depo, Vol III, 341:24-342:14,
8	348-353; Request for Judicial
9	Notice, Exhibit E, Order
10	Granting Summary Adjudication.
11	
12	50. In 1992, Armstrong 50. Undisputed.
13	discussed his anti-Scientology
14	litigation with reporters
15	Robert Welkos and Joel Sappell
16	of the Los Angeles Times.
17	Plaintiff's Evidence:
18	50. Armstrong Depo, Vol III,
19	378-380, Exhibit 1RR.
20	
21	51. In June, 1993, Armstrong 51. Undisputed.
22	discussed Scientology and his
23	knowledge of the Wollersheim
24	case with Joel Sappell.
25	Plaintiff's Evidence:
26	51. Armstrong letter to Mark
27	Goldowitz, June 30, 1993,
28	Exhibit 1SS.

52. On November 6, 1992, Undisputed. 1 52. Armstrong gave a videotaped 3 interview to Sylvia "Spanky" Taylor and Jerry Whitfield, in 4 5 which he discussed his alleged 6 Scientology knowledge and 7 experiences at length. Plaintiff's Evidence: 8 9 52. Videotape, Exhibit 1TT; 10 Transcript of Video, Exhibit 11 1UU. 12 13 53. On April 28, 1993, 53. Undisputed. 14 Armstrong attempted to appear on KFAX radio, in the San 15 Francisco area, to discuss his 16 17 claimed Scientology knowledge 18 and experiences. His 19 appearance was prevented only 20 by the rapid action of CSI's 21 counsel. 22 Plaintiff's Evidence: 53. Bartilson letter to 23 Armstrong, April 28, 1993, Ex. 24 25 1VV; Armstrong letter to Bartilson, May 3, 1993, Ex. 26 27 1WW. 28 In June, 1993, Armstrong Undisputed. 54.

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 47.

- 1		
1	have an interview to Newsweek	
2	reporter Charles Fleming,	
3	concerning his claimed	
4	Scientology experiences.	
5	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
6	54. "Scientology in the	
7	Schools," <u>Newsweek</u> , June 14,	
8	1993, p. 76, Exhibit 1XX;	
9	Armstrong Depo., Vol. VI, pp.	
10	736-737, Exhibit 1YY.	
11		
12	55. On June 29, 1993,	55. Undisputed.
13	Armstrong contacted reporter	
14	Charles Fleming of Newsweek	
15	and discussed his claimed	
16	knowledge of the Wollersheim	
17	case and Scientology.	
18	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
19	55. Armstrong letter to	
20	Goldowitz, June 30, 1993,	
21	Exhibit 1SS.	
22		
23	56. On August 28, 1993,	56. Undisputed.
24	Armstrong wrote to Charles	
25	Fleming discussing his	
26	litigation with CSI.	
27	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
28	56. Armstrong letter to	
	Fleming, August 28, 1993,	
	Page 48.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT DE SUM

1	1 Exhibit 1ZZ.	
2	2	
3	3 57. On June 29, 1993, 57.	Undisputed.
4	4 Armstrong spoke with reporter	
5	5 Mike Tipping from the <u>Daily</u>	
6	6 Journal concerning his	
7	7 knowledge Wollersheim's anti-	
8	8 Scientology litigation.	
9	9 Plaintiff's Evidence:	
10	.0 57. Armstrong letter to	
11	Goldowitz, June 30, 1993,	
12	2 Exhibit 1SS.	
13	.3	
14	4 58. In 1993, Armstrong 58.	Undisputed.
15	5 discussed his litigation with	
16	6 CSI and Time reporter Richard	
17	7 Behar.	
18	8 Plaintiff's Evidence:	
19	9 58. Armstrong Depo, Vol. VI,	
20	0 pp. 729-730, Exhibit 1AAA.	
21	1	
22	2 59. On June 29, 1993, 59.	Undisputed.
23	3 Armstrong discussed	
24	Wollersheim's anti-Scientology	
25	5 litigation with <u>Time</u> reporter	
26	6 Richard Behar.	
27	7 Plaintiff's Evidence:	
28	8 59. Armstrong letter to	
	Goldowitz, June 30, 1993,	
- 11	II	

Page 49.

	Page 50.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	early fall, 1993, Armstrong	
28	62. In the late summer or	62. Undisputed.
27		543 * 5.755.28 33.555 ·
26	E!TV segment, Exhibit 1DDD.	
25	61. Portions of Transcript of	
24	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
23	and experiences.	
22	claimed Scientology knowledge	
21	E! TV reporters concerning his	
20	Armstrong was interviewed by	
19	61. In August, 1993,	61. Undisputed.
18		
17	Exhibit 1CCC.	
16	Armstrong letter to Cohen,	
15	pp. 854-855, Exhibit 1BBB;	
14	60. Armstrong Depo, Vol. VII,	
13	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
12	litigation.	
11	to the pre-settlement	
10	including documents relating	
9	sent her many documents,	
8	Francisco Recorder. He also	
7	Cohen, a reporter for the San	
6	and experience with Jennifer	
5	claimed Scientology knowledge	
4	Armstrong discussed his	
3	60. In August, 1993,	60. Undisputed.
2		
1	Exhibit 1SS.	
	II .	

	II .	
1	discussed his claimed	
2	Scientology knowledge and	
3	experience on WORD radio in	
4	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.	
5	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
6	62. Armstrong Depo, Vol. VII,	
7	850-855, Exhibit 1EEE.	
8		
9	63. In October, 1993,	63. Disputed.
10	Armstrong discussed his	Armstrong testified that
11	claimed Scientology knowledge	Garcia wanted a comment on
12	and experiences with a	Armstrong's present thoughts
13	reporter for the St.	regarding a 1993 ruling by the
14	Petersburg Times, Wayne	IRS. Armstrong did not
15	Garcia.	discuss his knowledge or
16	Plaintiff's Evidence:	experiences.
17	63. Armstrong Depo, Vol. VI,	Defendant's Evidence
18	720-723, Exhibit 1FFF.	Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit
19		1FFF.
20		
21	64. In October, 1993,	64. Undisputed.
22	Armstrong wrote a lengthy	
23	letter to the editor of	
24	Premiere Magazine in which he	
25	discussed his claimed	
26	Scientology experiences.	
27	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
28	64. Armstrong letter to	
	Premiere Magazine Exhibit	

1	1GGG.		
2			
3	65. In May, 1994, Armstrong 6.	5.	Undisputed.
4	sent a letter to the Mirror		
5	Group newspapers, United		
6	Kingdom, in which he discussed		
7	his claimed Scientology		
8	experiences and offered to		
9	testify voluntarily on behalf		
10	of Mirror Group, should it		
11	become involved in litigation		
12	with CSI.		
13	Plaintiff's Evidence:		
14	65. Armstrong letter to		
15	Mirror Group Newspaper,		
16	Exhibit 1HHH.		
17			
18	66. In June, 1994, Armstrong 66	5.	Undisputed.
19	discussed his claimed		
20	Scientology knowledge and		
21	experiences with Rick Cusick,		
22	a reporter for Gauntlet		
23	Magazine.		
24	Plaintiff's Evidence:		
25	66. Armstrong Depo, Vol. VI,		
26	693-694, Exhibit 1III,		
27	Armstrong letter to Cusick,		
28	Exhibit 1JJJ.		

1	67. In June, 1994, Armstrong	67.	Undisputed.
2	discussed his claimed		
3	Scientology knowledge and		
4	experiences with Rick Sine, a		
5	reporter for the Pacific Sun.		
6	In July, 1994 he wrote a		
7	letter to the Editor of the		
8	Pacific Sun.		
9	Plaintiff's Evidence:		
10	67. Armstrong Depo, Vol. VI,		
11	653-655, 661-662, 664-667,		
12	Exhibit 1KKK; Article "Gagged		
13	Again," Exhibit 1LLL; Article		
14	"Alleged Gagged." Exhibit		
15	1MMM.		
16			
17	68. In August, 1994,	68.	Undisputed.
18	Armstrong discussed his		
19	claimed Scientology knowledge		
	0242		
20	and experiences with Marsha		
20 21			
	and experiences with Marsha		
21	and experiences with Marsha Nix, a representative of the		
21	and experiences with Marsha Nix, a representative of the Disney Channel.		
21 22 23	and experiences with Marsha  Nix, a representative of the  Disney Channel.  Plaintiff's Evidence:		
21 22 23 24	and experiences with Marsha Nix, a representative of the Disney Channel.  Plaintiff's Evidence: 68. Armstrong Depo, Vol. VII,		
21 22 23 24 25	and experiences with Marsha Nix, a representative of the Disney Channel.  Plaintiff's Evidence: 68. Armstrong Depo, Vol. VII,	69.	Undisputed.
21 22 23 24 25 26	and experiences with Marsha Nix, a representative of the Disney Channel.  Plaintiff's Evidence: 68. Armstrong Depo, Vol. VII, 848-849, Exhibit 1NNN.	69.	Undisputed.

Page 53.

1	Tom Voltz, a Swiss writer who
2	claimed to be writing an anti-
3	Scientology book.
4	Plaintiff's Evidence:
5	69. Armstrong Depo, Vol.
6	VIII, 992-995, Exhibit 1000.
7	
8	D. Armstrong Breached The Agreement By Preparing And
9	Distributing His Own Manuscripts Concerning His Claimed
10	Scientology Experiences In Violation Of Paragraph 7(D) Of The
11	Agreement.
12	
13	70. Armstrong has written and 70. Undisputed.
14	distributed a treatment for a
15	screenplay about his claimed
16	Scientology experiences which
17	he hopes to make into a film.
18	Plaintiff's Evidence:
19	70. Portions of Transcript of
20	E!TV segment, Exhibit 1DDD;
21	Armstrong letter to
22	Wollersheim, Exhibit 1PPP;
23	Armstrong Depo, Vol. VII, 875-
24	876, Exhibit 1000.
25	
26	71. Armstrong has written, 71. Undisputed.
27	copyrighted and distributed
28	two manuscripts concerning his
	claimed Scientology knowledge

1 and experiences. 2 Plaintiff's Evidence: 3 71. "I Declare," Exhibit 1RRR; "Find Better Basket," 4 5 Exhibit 1SSS; Armstrong Depo, 6 Vol. VI, pp. 654-655, 710; Vol. VII, pp. 798-801, Exhibit 7 1TTT. 8 9 10 E. Armstrong Breached The Agreement By Discussing His Claimed Scientology Knowledge And Experiences With Third Parties In 11 12 Violation Of Paragraph 7(D) Of The Agreement. 13 14 In July - September, 72. Undisputed. 1992, Armstrong spoke with 15 16 Robert Lobsinger, a Kentucky newspaper publisher concerning 17 18 his claimed Scientology knowledge and experiences. 19 20 Armstrong also wrote to 21 Lobsinger and sent him documents about Armstrong's 22 23 claimed Scientology experiences. 24 25 Plaintiff's Evidence: 26 72. Armstrong Depo., Vol. 27 III, 383-385; Vol. IV, 4521-28 422, Exhibit 1UUU; Armstrong Letter to Lobsinger, Exhibit

Page 55.

1	1VVV.	
2		
3	73. In August, 1992,	73. Undisputed.
4	Armstrong sent documents	
5	concerning his claimed	
6	knowledge and experiences of	
7	Scientology to the New York	
8	Times.	
9	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
10	73. Armstrong Depo., Vol.	
11	IV, 4521-422, Exhibit 1UUU.	
12		
13	74. In December, 1992,	74. Undisputed.
14	Armstrong wrote a letter	
15	discussing his claimed	
16	Scientology knowledge and	
17	experiences which he copied to	
18	Toby Plevin, Stuart Cutler,	
19	Anthony Laing, Kent Burtner,	
20	and Margaret Singer.	
21	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
22	74. Armstrong Letter, Exhibit	
23	Q.	
24		
25	75. In January, 1992,	75. Disputed.
26	Armstrong discussed his	Armstrong testified that he
27	claimed Scientology knowledge	asked Priscilla Coates for a
28	and experiences with CANLA	phone number.
	Director Priscilla Coates	Defendant's Evidence

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 56.

75. Armstrong Depo., Vol. 1WWW. II, Hunziker v. Applied Materials, 265, 266, Exhibit 5 1WWW. 76. From March, 1993 to 76. Undisputed. 7 August, 1994, Armstrong discussed his claimed 8 9 Scientology knowledge and 10 experiences with Omar 11 Garrison, and sent him documents about his claimed 12 13 Scientology experiences. 14 Plaintiff's Evidence: 76. Armstrong Depo., Vol. 15 VI, 705-710, Exhibit 1XXX. 16 17 77. In fall, 1993, Armstrong 77. Disputed. 18 discussed his claimed Vaughn and Stacy Young are not 19 Scientology knowledge and anti-Scientologists. They are 20 21 experiences with antipro-Scientologist. They are Scientologists Vaughn and opposed to the leaders of 22 23 Stacy Young. Scientology ordering fair game Plaintiff's Evidence: attacks on them, and on 24 25 77. Armstrong Depo., Vol. anyone. They are opposed to VI, 764-765, 767-770, 777-780, Scientology's leaders 26 Exhibit 1YYY. deceiving Scientologists and 27 subjecting them to coercive 28 and abusive practices to their Page 57. ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit

1

Plaintiff's Evidence:

1		detriment. Calling the Youngs
2		anti-Scientologists is
3		Scientology's leaders' "black
4		propaganda."
5		Defendant's Evidence
6		Ex. 1, 22:5-15.
7		
8	78. In fall, 1993, Armstrong	78. Undisputed.
9	discussed his claimed	
10	Scientology knowledge and	
11	experiences with a Stanford	
12	University psychology class.	
13	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
14	78. Armstrong Depo., Vol.	
15	VII, 869-870, 872, Exhibit	
16	1222.	
17		
18	79. In November, 1993,	79. Undisputed.
19	Armstrong discussed his	
20	claimed Scientology knowledge	
21	and experiences with attendees	
22	of a CAN convention.	
23	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
24	79. Armstrong Depo., Vol. V,	
25	591-592, Exhibit 1AAAA.	
26		
27	80. In spring, 1994,	80. Disputed.
28	Armstrong discussed his	Armstrong testified that his
	claimed Scientology knowledge	conversation with Ms.
	Page 58.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and experiences with anti-Scientologist Hana Whitfield.

## Plaintiff's Evidence:

80. Armstrong Depo., Vol. VI, 782-785, Exhibit 1BBBB.

Whitfield concerned dismissal of the Fishman case, which dismissal occurred in 1994. Moreover, Ms. Whitfield is not an anti-Scientologist, but pro-Scientologist. She is opposed to the leaders of Scientology ordering fair game attacks on people, including herself. She is opposed to Scientology's leaders deceiving Scientologists and subjecting them to coercive and abusive practices to their detriment. Calling Ms. Whitfield and "anti-Scientologist" is Scientology's leaders' "black propaganda."

Defendant's Evidence
Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit
1BBBB; Ex. 1, 22:5-15; Exhibit
2, Declaration of Hana
Whitfield in Opposition to
Motions for Summary
Adjudication of 20th Cause of
Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th &
19th Causes of Action of
Second Amended Complaint, and

Authenticating Exhibits, executed April 6, 1995, in toto.

E. Armstrong Breached The Agreement By Establishing And
Maintaining An Anti-Scientology Electronic Library Via His
Colorado Corporation, FACTNet, In Violation Of Paragraphs
7(D), 7(G), 7(H), 10 And 18(D) Of The Agreement.

81. In June, 1993 Armstrong
and anti-Scientologist

Lawrence Wollersheim organized

"Fight Against Coercive

Tactics, Inc." or "Fight

Against Coercive Tactics,

Network, Inc." a Colorado

Corporation (hereinafter,

"FACTNet"). Armstrong has

testified that he was an
incorporator of FACTNet and

Plaintiff's Evidence:
81. Armstrong Depo., Vol.
VII, 843, 919-920, Exhibit
1CCCC.

its first president.

81. Disputed.

Lawrence Wollersheim is not an anti-Scientologist, but proScientologist. He is opposed to the leaders of Scientology ordering fair game attacks on people, including himself. He is opposed to Scientology's leaders deceiving
Scientologists and subjecting them to coercive and abusive practices to their detriment.
Calling Mr. Wollersheim an "anti-Scientologist" is Scientology's leaders' "black propaganda."

Defendant's Evidence

Ex. 1, 22:5-15; Exhibit 9,

Declaration of Lawrence

Wollersheim in Opposition to

1		Motions for Summary
2		Adjudication of 20th Cause of
3		Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th &
4		19th Causes of Action of
5		Second Amended Complaint, and
6		Authenticating Exhibits,
7		executed April 7, 1995.
8		
9	82. According to Armstrong	82. Undisputed.
10	FACTNet was organized "to	
11	create an electronic means of	
12	assisting the battle against	
13	harmful mind control in its	
14	various forms and through its	
15	various arms, one of which	
16	and undeniably a major area in	
17	my life was Scientology."	
18	Plaintiff's Evidence:	
19	82. Armstrong Depo., Vol.	
20	VII, 922, Exhibit 1CCCC.	
21		
22	83. Armstrong has described	83. Undisputed.
23	FACTNet as "the electronic	
24	backup" to anti-Scientology	
25	litigation, and has admitted	
26	that the purposes of	
27	assembling the FACTNet	
28	database included "providing	

access to materials for

1 persons who were engaged in 2 litigation with various Church 3 of Scientology entities," and "making information available 4 5 to persons contemplating 6 pressing claims against 7 various Church of Scientology entities." 8 9

## Plaintiff's Evidence:

83. Armstrong Depo., Vol. VII, 922-923, Vol. VIII, 961, 972-973, Exhibit 1CCCC.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10

11

12

84. Armstrong supplied anti-Scientology materials to FACTNet's database before FACTNet was incorporated, while he was its president, and after he ceased to be an officer of FACTNet. The materials included declarations, personal writings, exhibits and other documents which Armstrong "possessed and assembled." Armstrong supplied two to three inches of anti-Scientology documents to

Disputed. 84.

Armstrong provided FACTNet no materials before it was incorporated. He provided materials to FACTNet after its incorporation which concerned certain of Scientology's illegal or antisocial practices, but no anti-Scientology materials. provided materials relating to the framing of his attorney Michael Flynn, by a private investigator Eugene Ingram. Ingram is not a named beneficiary in the 1986

Page 62.

FACTNet.

1 Plaintiff's Evidence: 2 84. Armstrong Depo., Vol. VII, 926-930, Vol. VIII, 954-961, Exhibit 1CCCC. 4 5 6 7 85. In addition to supplying 8 documents to FACTNet, Armstrong's role is one of 9 10 "strategy, planning and consultation." 11 12 Plaintiff's Evidence: 13 85. Armstrong letter to Wollersheim, Exhibit 1PPP. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

settlement.

Defendant's Evidence

Ex. 1, 22:16-24:4; Ex. 9, 2:6
7:6; Plaintiff's Evidence,

Exhibit 1CCCC.

85. Disputed. Armstrong's role in the area of "strategy, planning and consultation," was negligible. He resigned as a director in January, 1994, and has had no official role in FACTNet and no involvement in its operations ever since, other than as a FACTNet library card holder. FACTNet is an organization which champions the rights of cult abuse victims as a library and historical preservation archive. It collects, preserves and makes available information on groups using dangerous mind control practices.

Defendant's Evidence

Ex. 1, 22:16-24:4; Exhibit

1(R), Letter from Gerald

Armstrong to Lawrence Wollersheim dated January 21, 1994 resigning as director; Ex. 9, 2:6-7:6; Ex. 9(A) Exhibit A, Publication "FACTNet's Mission," by FACTNet, Inc. (Fight Against Corecive Tactics, Network, Incorporated); Ex. 9(B), FACTNet Research Publication "Scientology's Policies Toward Its Adversaries."

## Armstrong Intends To Continue Breaching The Agreement.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

86. On May 28, 1992, the Court in this action granted CSI's application for preliminary injunction, prohibiting Armstrong, "his agents, and persons acting in concert or conspiracy with him...from doing directly or indirectly any of the following: "Voluntarily assisting any person (not a governmental organ or entity) intending to make, intending to press,

86. Disputed.

Scientology brought a motion for a preliminary injunction prohibiting Armstrong from violating any of the conditions of the "settlement agreement." Judge Sohigian denied Scientology's motion as to all conditions of the agreement except for the narrow condition plaintiff states.

Defendant's Evidence Plaintiff's Request for

1 intending to arbitrate, or intending to litigate a claim 3 against the persons referred to in sec. 1 of the 'Mutual 4 Release of All Claims and 5 6 Settlement Agreement' of December, 1986, regarding such 7 claim or regarding pressing, 8 9 arbitrating or litigating it. 10 "Voluntarily assisting any person (not a governmental 11 12 organ or entity) arbitrating 13 or litigating a claim against 14 persons referred to in sec. 1 of the 'Mutual Release of All 15 Claims and Settlement 16 17 Agreement' of December, 1986." Plaintiff's Evidence: 18 19 Request for Judicial Notice, 20 Exhibit P, Minute Order of May 21 28, 1992, issued by Hon. Ronald M. Sohigian. 22

Judicial Notice, Exhibit P, p. 2, No. 6, first sentence, fifth paragraph, p. 3, first sentence.

87. Undisputed.

27 mean, I have, I have
28 absolutely no intention of
 honoring that settlement

87. On June 24, 1992,

Armstrong testified regarding

the Agreement, "A. When, I

23

24

25

26

1	agreement. I cannot. I
2	cannot logically. I cannot
3	ethically. I cannot morally.
4	I cannot psychically. I
5	cannot philosophically. I
6	cannot spiritually. I cannot
7	in any way. And it is firmly
8	my intention not to honor it.
9	"Q. No matter what a court
10	says?
11	"A. No court could order it.
12	They're going to have to kill
13	me."
14	Plaintiff's Evidence:
15	87. Armstrong Depo., Vol. I,
16	124:3-11, Exhibit 1DDDD.
17	
18	88. On November 6, 1992, 88. Undisputed.
19	Armstrong provided a video-
20	taped interview in which he
21	stated, "I cannot except
22	pursuant to a subpoena, assist
23	someone intending to file a
24	claim or pressing a claim
25	against the organization. Now
26	that we are appealing even
27	that very narrow ruling,
28	because that's unenforceable
	because if you construe my

	1
1	that this video could possibly
2	indirectly help someone in the
3	future, I can't do this. And
4	not only that but if you
5	consider that my existence
6	indirectly or directly helps
7	someone, then I'll oblige to
8	take my own life. In other
9	words then I must stop
10	breathing. It's unenforceable
11	hence I feel that I am
12	completely at liberty to
13	associate with whomever I
14	want, to talk to whomever I
15	want, and I act in life that
16	way."
17	Plaintiff's Evidence:
18	88. Videotape of Interview
19	with Gerald Armstrong, Exhibit
20	1TT; Transcript of Interview,
21	page 34, Exhibit 1UU.
22	
23	89. On December 22, 1992, 89. Undisputed.
24	Armstrong sent a letter to
25	attorney Laurie Bartilson,
26	counsel for CSI. In this
27	letter Armstrong wrote, "I
28	consider myself free to do
- 11	

anything anyone can, except

1 testify absent a subpoena. 2 Much of what I am permitted 3 [to] do I am going to do. I 4 am going to write freely, 5 speak freely, publish, talk to 6 the media, associate freely, 7 and continue, until you put 8 your faith in something more 9 religious than what is bad in 10 jurisprudence, to confront the injustice you bring to court. 11 In the next month or so I 12 13 expect to initiate speaking or media events to help pay the 14 enormous costs of this 15 16 litigation. And I expect to promote my legal position 17 within the publishing 18 industry, because my story and 19 20 my writings on the subject are literarily and commercially 21 worthy." 22 23 Plaintiff's Evidence: 89. Letter of December 22, 24 1992, page 3, Exhibit 1Q. 25 26 In this letter, Armstrong

wrote, "I will continue to

associate with and befriend

Undisputed. 90.

Page 68.

27

28

1	all those people I consider
2	you attack unjustly and
3	senselessly. I will make my
4	knowledge and support
5	available to the Cult
6	Awareness Network, a group of
7	people of good will you
8	vilify, in all the litigation
9	you have fomented against
10	them. I will make my
11	knowledge and support
12	available to any Scientologist
13	who is afraid to go anywhere
14	else for understanding, and to
15	the families of Scientologists
16	your organization has
17	estranged. I will even make
18	my knowledge and support
19	available to entities like
20	Time and people like Rich
21	Behar in their defenses from
22	your attacks."
23	Plaintiff's Evidence:
24	90. Letter of December 22,
25	1992, Exhibit 1Q.
26	
27	91. In this letter, Armstrong 91. Undisputed.
28	wrote, "There is also, as
	mentioned above, the fact that

Page 69.

in order to defend myself from 1 your attacks and to fund the 3 defense of the litigation you have fomented I must speak and 5 must publish. I'm sure you understand that I remain 6 7 completely confident that no court, other than the odd one 8 your mercenaries are able to 9 10 compromise with bucks, babes or bull, will order me to not 11 defend myself." 12 13 Plaintiff's Evidence: 91. Letter of December 22, 14 1992, page 5, Exhibit 1Q. 15 16 Undisputed. 17 92. In February, 1993, 92. 18 Armstrong executed a declaration in which he 19 stated, "When I received and 20 21 read the Sohigian ruling I sought to divine its meaning 22 23 and apply it sensibly to my life, work and legal 24 situation. If it meant 25 precisely what it said then I 26 27 would have to stop breathing 28 because by breathing I would

be indirectly assisting any

1 person litigating a claim 2 against the organization 3 entities referred in sec. 1 of the settlement agreement. 4 5 Obviously, therefore, Judge 6 Sohigian did not mean what he 7 stated. If he meant only that 8 I could not, as opposed to 9 passive assistance to 10 litigating claimants such as breathing, living and writing 11 12 magazine articles for the public generally, physically 13 act to help such a claimant 14 personally, I would have to 15 16 ensure every little old lady or little old man I might 17 18 escort across the road was not such a claimant. I am certain 19 20 Judge Sohigian did not intend that....I do not believe such 21 22 non-assistance covenants or 23 orders are legal or do 24 anything but obstruct the administration of justice and 25 attempt to destroy mens' 26 27 souls."

## Plaintiff's Evidence:

92. Armstrong declaration of

28

1 February 2, 1993, Exhibit 2 1EEEE. 3 4 93. On May 3, 1993, Armstrong 93. Undisputed. wrote a letter to Laurie 5 Bartilson which stated, "You 6 7 are in error in your interpretation of the December 8 6, 1986 settlement agreement. 9 10 I did not agree on that date to forgo future media 11 appearances for a substantial 12 sum of money...." and "Your 13 threat that you will subject 14 me to the liquidated 15 16 damages provision of the 17 settlement agreement for 18 appearing on [a radio program] is obscene. Even its 19 20 inclusion in the settlement agreement; that is \$50,000.00 21 per word I write or speak 22 23 about your organization is 24 obscene." 25 Plaintiff's Evidence: 92. Armstrong letter to 26 27 Bartilson, May 3, 1993,

28

Exhibit 1FFFF.

1 94. On August 16, 1993, 2 Armstrong wrote to Andrew Wilson that "[M]y breaching of 3 4 the agreement has continued 5 unabated since 1990. It is my 6 duty, therefore, to continue 7 that breach unabated until the agreement is rescinded and no 8 9 longer exists to be breached. This letter thus also serves 10 to advise you and your client 11 12 that I am continuing unabated. Please also advise your client 13 14 to not waste its victims "donations" sending around its 15 16 camera-toting PIs to try to 17 catch me in an instant when I am doing something other than 18 my unbroken breach. If I am 19 20 not heard to be breaching the 21 agreement at any moment, I have not stopped doing so, but 22 23 am just between words or 24 breaching in a whisper. Even in my sleep, though I may not 25 be somniloquizing, I am in 26 27 every instant breaching the agreement." 28

94. Undisputed.

Plaintiff's Evidence:

1 94. Armstrong letter to 2 Wilson, Exhibit 1GGGG. 3 In June, 1994, Armstrong 4 5 gave an interview to Pacific 6 Sun Reporter Rick Sine, in 7 which he claims, "I stated 8 that, certainly at one point, 9 that the settlement agreement 10 was unenforceable from the 11 start; and according to the 12 language of the settlement 13 agreement, it was absolutely impossible to live, live by 14 15 it; and I realize it would 16 have driven me absolutely nuts 17 to even attempt. Nevertheless, I had tried to live by it and 18 live within what I call the 19 20 spirit of settlement. unless I 21 arrived at a point where it simply was impossible and I 22 had to take a stand and had to 23 24 do -- take the acts, do the 25 things that ended up doing." Plaintiff's Evidence: 26 27 95. Armstrong Depo., Vol. VI,

95. Undisputed.

28

665-666, Exhibit 1HHHH.

1	96. In July, 1994, Armstrong 96. Undisputed.
2	wrote to the Pacific Sun, that
3	Judge Sohigian "refused the
4	organization's gargantuan
5	effort to gag me I
6	rarely had to consider
7	violating the injunction to
8	help [people]. Everyone else
9	I help with impunity."
10	Plaintiff's Evidence:
11	96. Letter to Pacific Sun,
12	"Alleged Gag," Exhibit 1MMMM.
13	
14	97. On February 1, 1995, 97. Undisputed.
15	Armstrong wrote to Church
16	member, Nancy O'Meara, copying
17	the "Media": "What Scientology
18	is doing with me is
19	suppressive, and threatening
20	to justice, wisdom and
21	innocent people everywhere. I
22	will continue to stand my
23	ground and I refuse to be
24	suppressed As long as I
25	breathe I will continue to do
26	what I see as God's will, and
27	continue to bring
28	Scientology's evil nature to
	the light of truth."

## Plaintiff's Evidence:

97. Armstrong Letter to O'Meara, Exhibit 1IIII.

4

1

2

3

## ADDITIONAL DISPUTED FACTS

6

5

7 Armstrong's Claim: Scientology is not entitled to summary 8 adjudication of the Twentieth Cause of Action because: 1. Scientology obtained Armstrong's signature on the subject 9 10 settlement document by duress; 2. Scientology obtained Armstrong's signature on the subject settlement document by fraud; 3. the 11 12 settlement agreement is unfair, unreasonable, unconscionable and 13 cannot be specifically performed; 4. Scientology's hands are 14 unclean in this transaction and Scientology is therefore barred 15 from obtaining the relief it seeks; 5. the settlement agreement 16 and Scientology's enforcement thereof are obstructive of justice; 17 6. all of Armstrong's experiences concerning which Scientology seeks to silence him are religious in nature and the silencing of 18 the expression of such experiences by court order is completely 19 barred by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution; 20 and, 7. all of Armstrong's activities which Scientology claims are 21 violations of the subject agreement are religiously motivated and 22 completely protected by the First Amendment, and the Religious 23

25

26

27

28

24

## ISSUE NO. I

Freedom Restoration Act of 1993.

Armstrong's Claim: Scientology obtained Armstrong's signature on the subject settlement document by duress.

Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Fact and

Page 76.

Evidentiary Support No. 1, A-E, supra.

## ISSUE NO. II

<u>Armstrong's Claim</u>: Scientology obtained Armstrong signature on the subject settlement document by fraud.

Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Fact and Evidentiary Support No. 1, A-E, supra.

98. Flynn advised Armstrong prior to Armstrong's signing the settlement agreement that Scientology had promised that in exchange for his signing the agreement it was ceasing all fair game activities and all attacks against Armstrong, and everyone else.

98. Ex. 1, 9:1-15; Ex. 1(B),
p. 4, ¶9, p. 5, ¶11, pp. 17,
18, ¶¶ 27; Ex. 1(G), 9:2510:4, 11:15-17; Exhibit 1(S),
Ex parte application to
continue hearing on motions
for summary adjudication and
declaration thereto executed
April 7, 1995, 5:10-22.

99. The settlement agreement contains the following language:

7 I. "...the "slate" is wiped clean concerning past actions by any party."

18. "(D) The parties
hereto and their respective
attorneys each agree not to
disclose the contents of this
executed Agreement. Nothing

99. Plaintiff's Evidence,
Exhibit 1A, Mutual Release of
All Claims and Settlement
Agreement, pp. 11, 15.

herein shall be construed to 1 2 prevent any party hereto or his respective attorney from 3 stating that this civil action 4 has been settled in its 5 entirety. 6 7 (E) The parties further 8 agree to forbear and refrain from doing any act or 9 exercising any right, whether 10 11 existing now or in the future, 12 which act or exercise is 13 inconsistent with this Agreement." 14 15 16 100. Armstrong believed that 100. Ex. 1, 15:1-10; Ex. 1(G), 17 the above quoted clauses in p. 12, ¶18. 18 the settlement agreement 19 required that Scientology forbear and refrain from 20 further acts of fair game 21 against him, and that 22 Scientology would not say or 23 publish anything about him, 24

101. Indeed, Scientology
entity Author Services, Inc.'s
Page 78.

other than that the case had

been settled in its entirety.

25

26

27

28

101. Ex. 1(A)(D) Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant

attorney Lawrence Heller, who 1 2 "was personally involved in the [1986] settlements," 3 stated in a declaration 4 5 executed November 1, 1989 in support of Scientology's 6 7 motion to delay or prevent the 8 taking of certain third party depositions, including 10 Armstrong's, that: 11 "The non-disclosure 12 obligations were a key part of 13 the settlement agreements 14 insisted upon by all parties involved." 15 16 17 102. Attorney Heller repeated this averment in the 18 19 memorandum of points and authorities, stating: 20 21 "One of the key ingredients to completing 22 23 these settlements, insisted 24 upon by all parties involved, was strict confidentiality 25 26 respecting: (1) the Scientology parishioner or 27 staff member's experiences 28

with the Church of

Page 79.

Author Services, Inc to Delay or Prevent the Taking of Certain Third Party Depositions by Plaintiff dated November 1, 1989 in Corydon v. Scientology, LASC No. C 694401, declaration of Lawrence E. Heller, 8:27-9:16.

102. Ex. 1(A)(D), 4:9-19.

Scientology; (2) any knowledge 1 2 possessed by the Scientology entities concerning those 3 staff members or 4 parishioners." 5 6 7 103. In his call to Armstrong on November 20, 1989, Heller also stated that Scientology 10 had signed a non-disclosure 11 agreement as well and as far

as he knew had lived up to the

out for Heller statements made

by Scientology in violation of

the agreement.

agreement. Armstrong listed

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

103. Ex. 1(A), p. 21, ¶ 44;
Ex. 1(B), p. 6, ¶ 13, pp.
12,13, ¶19; Ex. 1(B)(L), Notes
of Gerald Armstrong of
telephone conversation with
Lawrence Heller on November
20, 1989; Ex. 1(B)(M),
Transcript of Gerald
Armstrong's side of telephone
conversation with Lawrence
Heller on November 20, 1989 p.
2.

that the meaning of the
settlement agreement was that
Scientology was free to attack
him or anyone else, that it
was going to continue fair
game as before, and that he

would be legally unable to

respond or defend himself or

104. If Armstrong had known

104. Ex. 1, 15:1-10; Ex. 1(H), p. 18, ¶17.

Page 80.

anyone else, he would never, for all the money in the 3 world, have signed the 4 document. 5 105. Since Armstrong signed 6 105. A. Ex. 1(A), pp. 7-9, ¶¶ 7 the settlement agreement, 15-19, p. 20, ¶ 43; Ex. 8 Scientology reneged on its 1(A)(E), Excerpts from 1987 Scientology "Dead Agent" 9 promise and continued its fair game attacks on him. 10 These document. 11 fair game attacks after December, 1986, but prior to 12 13 any acts by Armstrong, which 14 Scientology alleges are 15 breaches of the agreement, 16 include, but are not limited 17 to: 18 A. Delivering "dead agent documents," on him to 19 various media representatives. 20 21 22 B. Publishing its own Ex. 1, 10:12-24; Ex. В. 23 false descriptions of his 1(A), pp. 7-9, ¶¶ 15-19; Ex. Scientology experiences. 24 1(A)(E). 25 26 C. Disseminating to the C. Ex. 1(A), p. 20, ¶ 43; 27 media an edited, misleading Ex. 1(A)(N), Business card of Eugene M. Ingram on copy face and defamatory version of a 28 secret and illegal videotape of videocassette; Ex. 1(G),

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 81.

its agents made of him.

own documents which

requested be sealed.

Scientology itself had

Disseminating his

2

1

3 4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14:24-15:3.

D. Ex. 1(A), pp. 10-12; Ex.

1(A)(F), First Affidavit of

Kenneth Long executed October

5, 1987 and filed in

Scientology v. Miller &

Penguin Books, High Court of

Justice, London, England, Case

No. 1987 C 6140, p. 2-14, ¶¶

2-26, and all exhibits

thereto.

E. Filing affidavits
about him in a civil lawsuit
in England which falsely
asserted, inter alia, that he
violated court orders and was
an admitted agent provocateur
of the US Government.

Ex. 1(A), pp.9-18, ¶¶ 21-E. 39; Ex. 1(A)(F), p. 2-14,  $\P$ 2-26; Ex. 1(A)(G), Second Affidavit of Kenneth Long executed October 5, 1987 and filed in Miller, p. 2-17, ¶¶ 3-32; Ex. 1(A)(H), Third Affidavit of Kenneth Long executed October 5, 1987 and filed in Miller, p. 2, ¶ 3, p. 4, ¶¶ 8,9; Ex. 1(A)(I), First Affidavit of Sheila Chaleff executed October 5, 1987 and filed in Miller, p. 3, ¶ 7; Ex. 1(A)(J), Fourth Affidavit of Kenneth Long executed

1 October 7, 1987 and filed in 2 Miller, p. 2-8, ¶¶ 2-16; Ex. 3 1(A)(K), Fifth Affidavit of 4 Kenneth Long executed October 5 8, 1987 and filed in Miller, 6 p. 2-7, ¶¶ 3-15. 7 8 F. Threatening him with F. Ex. 1(A), p. 9, ¶ 20. being sued if he even talked 9 10 to attorneys in the case in which the false charges were 11 12 being made about him. 13 14 G. Threatening to G. Ex. 1(A), p. 18, ¶ 40. 15 expose a private writing if he 16 did not assist Scientology's 17 effort to prevent a civil 18 litigant, Bent Corydon from 19 obtaining access to the 20 Armstrong case file. 21 22 H. Threatening him with Ex. 1, 11:2-11; Ex. 1(A), Н. being sued if he testified 23 pp. 2,3, ¶4, pp. 3,4, ¶¶ 7,8, 24 about his Scientology pp. 7-9, ¶¶ 15-19; pp. 20,21, experiences even pursuant to a 25 ¶44; Ex. 1(B), pp. 9,10, ¶ 16; 26 subpoena. pp. 11-13, ¶¶ 18, 19; Ex. 27 1(B)(J), Notes of Gerald Armstrong of telephone 28

conversation with Lawrence

> 7 8

6

9 10 I.

his own deposition.

did in response to

12

11

13 14

15

fair game attacks was to file two petitions in the California Court of Appeal to be able to respond in the then pending appeal Scientology had 23 taken from the 1984 decision 24 in the Armstrong case, and the 25 appeal Scientology had taken 26 from the unsealing by Bent 27 Corydon of the Armstrong court file. Over Scientology's 28 objections, the Court of Page 84.

Threatening him with

being sued for being in court

to attend a hearing concerning

106. The first thing Armstrong

Scientology's post-settlement

Heller on October 23, 1989; Ex. 1(B)(K), Notes of Gerald Armstrong of telephone conversation with Lawrence Heller on October 25, 1989; Ex. 1(B)(L); Ex. 1(B)(M); Ex. 1(H), p. 11, ¶ 14.

I. Ex. 1(A), p. 23, ¶ 51; Ex. 1(B), pp. 11, 12, ¶18, Ex. 1(B)H, Declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed March 26, 1990.

106. Ex. 1, 11:11-20; Ex. 1(A), pp. 23,24, ¶¶ 52-54; Ex. 1(A)(P), Respondents's Petition for Permission to File Response and for an Extension of Time to File Response filed in the California Court of Appeal February 28, 1990 in Scientology v. Armstrong, Case No. B025920; Ex. 1(A) and all Exhibits thereto; Ex. 1(A)(Q), Defendants's Petition for Permission to File Response and for Time to File filed in

1 Appeal granted his petitions. 2 The Court also unsealed the 3 subject settlement agreement, which Armstrong had filed as a 4 "sealed exhibit" to his 5 6 petitions. 107. From the time Armstrong 8 petitioned the Court of 10 Appeal, Scientology has continued its fair game 11 12 attacks on him without 13 ceasing. These fair game attacks include, but are not limited to: 15 16 A. 17 18 19

7

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Disseminating to the media "dead agent packs" of "black propaganda" on him which provide Scientology's false version of Armstrong's experiences and include at least the following lies:

a. that Armstrong testified falsely at trial in 1984;

that he "has adopted b. a degraded life-style;"

the California Court of Appeal March 1, 1990 in Scientology v. Armstrong, Case No. B038975; Ex. 1(B), and all Exhibits thereto; Ex. 1(G), 15:25-16:4.

107. A. a. Ex. 1, p. 20, ¶ 40-A, Exhibit O, Set of Batesstamped Scientology publications, known as "dead agent documents" concerning Gerald Armstrong and Judge Paul G. Breckenridge, Jr., produced by Scientology herein, and authenticated by Scientology representative Lynn R. Farny, at Bates stamped pages 200048, 200054; 200191; 200196.

b. Ex. 1(0), 200049; 200191.

Page 85.

1	c. that he was	c. Ex. 1(0), 200049, 200191.
2	"apparently naked" in a	
3	newspaper photo;	
4		
5	d. that he is connected	d. Ex. 1(0), 200049; 200191.
6	to Cult Awareness Network	
7	described as "a referral	
8	agency for those who engage in	
9	the illegal activity of	
10	kidnapping adults for the	
11	purpose of forcibly persuading	
12	them to abandon their	
13	religious beliefs;"	
14		
15	e. that Armstrong's	e. Ex. 1(0), 200049, 200050;
16	defense at his 1984 trial "was	200192; 200359.
17	a sham and a fraud;"	
18		
19	f. that the LAPD	f. Ex. 1(0), 200050; 200192;
20	"authorized [Scientology's]	200360.
21	videotapes of Armstrong;	
22		
23	g. that Armstrong	g. Ex. 1(0), 200050-200052;
24	wanted to plant fabricated	200360; 200361; 200669.
25	documents in Scientology files	
26	and tell the IRS to	
27	conduct a raid;	
28		
	h. that he wanted to	h. Ex. 1(0), 200051; 200193.
	Page 86.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

plunder Scientology for his 1 2 own financial gain; 3 that he never 4 Ex. 1(0), 200053; 200196; 5 intended to stick to the terms 200362. 6 of the settlement agreement; 7 8 j. that Armstrong's j. Ex. 1(0), 200054; 200196. 9 motives in writing attorney 10 Eric Lieberman regarding the 11 Nothling case were money and 12 power; 13 14 k. that he was Ex. 1(0), 200054; 200196; k. 15 incompetent as a researcher on 200367. 16 the Hubbard biography project; 17 18 that he wanted to 1. Ex. 1(0), 200052; 200194. 19 orchestrate a coup in which members of the US Government 20 would wrest control of 21 Scientology. 22 23 24 Using transcripts В. Ex. 1(0), 200072-200094; 25 B. 200096-200013; 200670; 200054 26 and other documents to attack ("section 11"); 200055 27 Armstrong which Scientology ("section 13"); 200196 itself has insisted be sealed. 28 ("section 11"); 200670 ("(See

Page 87.

1		letter, page 31)"); 200361	
2	÷.	("Section 2"); 200368	
3		("Section 19").	
4			
5	C. Publishing "black	C.a. Exhibit 1(L), Scientology	
6	propaganda" on Armstrong	publication entitled	
7	without stating its source	""FACTNet" - Perversions,	
8	which provide Scientology's	Criminality and Lies."	
9	false version of his	Scientology at p. 3.	
10	experiences and include at		
11	least these false and/or		
12	perverted charges:		
13	a. that he was formerly		
14	a heavy drug user;		
15			
16	b. that he was paid to	b. Ex. 1(L), at p. 3.	
17	<pre>provide homosexual sex;</pre>		
18			
19	c. that a Marin	c. Ex. 1(L), at p. 3.	
20	Independent Journal photo		
21	showed him in the nude holding		
22	the globe;		
23			
24	d. that he is a	d. Exhibit 1(M), Scientology	
25	psychotic and lives in a	publication entitled	
26	delusory world;	""FACTNet" Still Off the	
27		Rails," at p. 2.	
28			
	D. Scientology (CSI)	D. Exhibit 1(N), Letter from	
	Page 88.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT	

1 director Michael Rinder on May 2 9, 1994, wrote a letter to the Mirror Newspaper Group in 3 London, United Kingdom in 4 5 which he stated that Armstrong 6 "has now distinguished himself 7 by posing naked in a newspaper;" 8

Michael Rinder, Church of Scientology International executive and director of plaintiff herein, to Mirror Group Newspapers in London, United Kingdom dated May 9, 1994, at p. 2.

E. Church of

Scientology International President Heber Jentzsch on August 5, 1993 wrote a letter to E! Television in which he stated that Armstrong "has no relation to art or artists...except, of course, for the photo of himself, nude, hugging the globe;"

Exhibit 1(U), Letter from E. Church of Scientology International President Heber Jentzsch to E! Television dated August 5, 1993.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

19

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

Scientology agent F. Eugene Ingram spread the rumor that Armstrong has AIDS;

Ex. 1, 23:20-23, Exhibit F. 10, Second Declaration of Gerald Armstrong in Opposition to Motion for Summary Adjudication of 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, executed September 9, 1995, 10:1-6, 11:13-25; Exhibit

28

27

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10(A), Videotape taken by
Eugene Ingram of Gerald
Armstrong at November, 1992
Cult Awareness Convention,
produced by Scientology herein
(lodged separately).

G. Scientology agent
Garry Scarff was briefed by
Ingram to expand on the "fuck
buddy" relationship between
attorney Ford Greene and
Armstrong;

H. Filing declarations in various courts containing false charges, and then using the settlement agreement to prevent him from responding or punish him for responding;

- G. Exhibit 1(K), Declaration of Garry L. Scarff, executed February 11, 1993 and filed herein in opposition to order to show cause re contempt, at 4:6-10, 5:10-12;
- H. Exhibit 1(P), Declaration of David Miscavige, executed February 8, 1994 and filed in Scientology v. Steven Fishman, supra, 31:22-32:14; Scientology's Request for Kudicial Notice, Exhibit A, second amended complaint, 19th cause of action, at 25:16-26:18; Scientology's motion for summary adjudication of 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th causes of action, at 9:9-10:4; Exhibit 1(E)(C), Scientology's Supplemental Memorandum in

I. Attempting to have Armstrong jailed for contempt of court based on mischaracterization of his actions and manufactured actions; 27 28

Support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint with Prejudice, filed August 26, 1991 in Aznaran, supra, at 5:11-6:12, and declaration of Lynn R. Farny appended thereto, at pp 39-41; Scientology's evidence in support of motion for summary adjudication, Exhibit 1J, declaration of Gerald Armstrong executed September 3, 1991, and filed in Aznaran.

I. Ex. 1(H)(DD), Scientology's Ex parte Application for Order to Show Cause Why Gerald Armstrong Should Note Be Held in Contempt, filed herein December 31, 1992; Exhibit 1(J) Declaration of Gerald Armstrong in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication Fourth, Sixth and Eleventh Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, Authenticating Exhibits, 7:20-8:12; Ex. 1(J)(L); Exhibit

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Page 92.

J. Providing documentation to Premiere magazine about Armstrong, including partial transcripts of the illegal Ingram videotaping of Armstrong and then using the settlement agreement to punish Armstrong for responding;

K. Providing a press release to the Marin <u>Independent Journal</u> concerning the Court's ruling of January 27, 1995, which discusses Armstrong's Scientology experiences and contains the false statement that he "promised [in the settlement agreement] to refrain from

1(J)(M), "Why Thetans Mock Up, " Scientology Bulletin by L. Ron Hubbard dated October 1, 1969, Bates stamped p. 700576; Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit 1EEEE, declaration of Gerald Armstrong, executed February 2, 1993.

Exhibit 1(Q), Article "Catch a Rising Star," by John H. Richardson in Premiere, September, 1993, p. 88; Scientology's motion for summary adjudication, at 8:18; Scientology's evidence, Exhibit 1GGG, letter from Gerald Armstrong to Premiere.

K. Exhibit 1(T), Scientology press release from Nancy O'Meara and Andrew H. Wilson regarding January 27, 1995 ruling by Judge Gary W. Thomas granting summary adjudication; Scientology's motion for summary adjudication, at 14:22-15:5; Scientology's evidence, Exhibit 1IIII,

spreading falsehoods about
[Scientology];" and then using
the settlement agreement to
punish Armstrong for
responding;

letter from Gerald Armstrong to Nancy O'Meara.

L. Secretly videotaping him.

L. Ex. 1(E)(E), pp. 29-33,
Letters of August 21 and
August 22, 1991 to Scientology
attorney Eric Lieberman.

108. Scientology has also continued to carry out fair game against its other perceived enemies, many of them Armstrong's friends and associates who include Ford Greene, Hana Whitfield, Dennis Erlich, Lawrence Wollersheim, Jonathan Atack, Margery Wakefield, Nancy McLean and Malcolm Nothling.

108. Ex. 1, 24:5-24; Ex. 10, 11:5-12:7; Ex. 9, 1:18-5:21; Ex. 9(B), in toto; Ex. 2, 1:12-27, 8:20-26, 6:21-12:18; Ex.2(A), 8:5-29:17, 38:4-41:7; Exhibit 3, Declaration of Dennis Erlich in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, and Authenticating Exhibits, executed April 6, 1995, 3:9-19; Exhibit 4, Declaration of Margery Wakefield in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th

Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, and Authenticating Exhibits, executed April 7, 1995, 3:25-3:17; Exhibit 4(B), Scientology's Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Criminal Contempt, filed February, 1993 in Wakefield v. Scientology, US District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Case no. 82-1313-Civ-T-10; Exhibit 5, Declaration of Keith Scott in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, executed April 5, 1995, 3:6:15; Exhibit 6, Declaration of Malcolm Nothling in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended

27

28

Complaint, and Authenticating Exhibits, executed April 2, 1995, 2:6-11, 2:17-21; Exhibit 6(A), Sworn Statement, pp 1,2, ¶¶ 3-7; Ex. 7, pp. 1-3, ¶¶ 6-12, p. 4, ¶ 16; Exhibit 7, § "General Report on Scientology - Declaration of Jonathan Caven-Atack," p. 1, ¶2, pp. 12-16, ¶¶68-90; Exhibit 7(A) Booklet "Total Freedom Trap: Scientology, Dianetics and L. Ron Hubbard, by Jon Atack, Theta Communications, Ltd., 1992, pp. 18,19, 28; Exhibit 7(B), Scientology Booklet "Anatomy of a Propagandist" Theta Communications International, undated. pp. 1end; Exhibit 8, Declaration of Nancy McLean in Opposition to Motions for Summary Adjudication of 20th Cause of Action; and 13th, 16th, 17th & 19th Causes of Action of Second Amended Complaint, and Authenticating Exhibits, executed April 5, 1995, 1:17-3:6; Ex.1(L), pp. 1-5; Ex.

27

28

1(M), pp. 1-3.

1 2

ISSUE NO. III

109. Scientology's

Armstrong's Claim: The settlement agreement is unfair, unreasonable, unconscionable and cannot be specifically performed.

Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts,
Additional Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-108, supra.

interpretation of the
settlement agreement is that
it can say whatever it wants
to anyone in any form at any

time about Armstrong and that

he has no right to respond.

110. Armstrong has been "fair game" since 1982.

109. Ex. 1, 15:1-3; Ex.

1(B)(F), Declaration of

Lawrence Heller executed March

27, 1989 and filed in Corydon,

supra., 34:26-35-13;

Scientology's motions for

summary adjudication of 20th

cause of action, and 13th,

16th, 17th and 19th causes of

action of second amended

complaint filed herein.

110. Ex. 1(A)(A), 5:3-19; 7:912:9; Ex. 1(A)(A) Appendix,
13:4-15:3; Ex. 1(B), pp. 17,
18, ¶ 27; Ex. 1(C), at 920,
921, 925; Exhibit 1(I)(U),
Deposition testimony herein
July 11 and July 26, 1994 of
Lynn Farny ("Farny") Secretary

111. Armstrong's crosscomplaint against Scientology for fraud and years of fair game attacks was set in December, 1986 to go to trial in March, 1987. 112. Armstrong agreed, in exchange for monetary payment, Scientology's cessation of 25 26 fair game against him and 27 others and its release of him 28 from all acts and claims, to dismiss his cross-complaint Page 97.

and corporate representative of plaintiff CSI, at 250:24-251:6; Ex. 1(I)(AA); Ex. 1(I)(BB); Ex. 1(I)(CC), "Suppressive Persons and Suppressive Groups List, Flag Executive Directive" dated July 25, 1992, (alphabetical list). Armstrong specifically repeats and includes herein his evidence in additional facts Nos. 105 and 107, supra.

111. Ex. 1(A) p. ¶ 1; Ex. 1(A)(A), 12:10-16; Exhibit 1(A)(B) Opinion of California Court of Appeal dated December 18, 1986 in Scientology v. Armstrong, Case No. B005912, at 13; Ex. 1(G), 11:7-10; Ex. 1(H), p. 18, ¶17.

112. Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit A, p. 1-6, ¶¶ 1-6C; Ex. 1, 9:1-25; Ex. 1(B), p. 4, ¶9, p. 5, ¶11, pp. 17, 18, ¶¶ 27; Ex. 1(A)(D), declaration of Lawrence E. Heller, 8:27-9:16; Ex. 1(G), 9:25-10:4,

1 and release Scientology for 11:15-17; Ex. 1(S), and 2 all its acts and claims up to declaration thereto, 5:10-22. 3 the date of settlement. Nowhere in the agreement does 4 5 it state that Armstrong released Scientology from 6 7 future acts, that Scientology 8 may say or publish whatever it wants about him, nor that he 9 waived any right to respond to 10 11 any such statement. 12 13 113. The settlement agreement, 113. Plaintiff's Evidence, however, specifically states Exhibit A, pp. 4,5, ¶¶ 4A, 4B. 14 15 that Armstrong waived his right to respond in any appeal 16 17 Scientology might take from the 1984 decision in his case 18 19 by Judge Breckenridge. 20 21 114. In spite of that specific 114. Ex. 1(A) (P). 22 waiver, the Court of Appeal granted Armstrong's petition 23 24 to respond, which was based in part on his assertion that 25 26 being held by contract from 27 not responding worked a fraud

28

upon the Court.

1	115. The Court of Appeal also	115. Ex. 1(A)(Q); Ex. B, and
2	granted Armstrong's petition	all exhibits thereto; Ex. C.
3	to respond in the appeal	
4	Scientology had taken from the	
5	unsealing of the Armstrong	
6	court file, which petition was	
7	based in part on his assertion	
8	that being held by contract	
9	from not responding worked a	
10	fraud upon the Court, and on	
11	the acts of fair game	
12	perpetrated against him by	
13	Scientology after the 1986	
14	settlement.	
15		
16	116. Flynn advised Armstrong	116. Ex. 1(B), p. 3, ¶7;
17	that what Scientology was	Plaintiff's Evidence, Exhibit
18	paying him for in the	A, $\P\P$ 1,4,5,6,8; Ex. 1(G),
19	settlement was his dismissal	8:28-9:5.
20	of his cross-complaint and his	
21	release of Scientology for all	
22	its prior acts.	
23		
24	117. Armstrong never agreed	117. Ex. 1, 14:28-15:10; Ex.
25	to permit Scientology to	1(A), p. 7, ¶ 14, p. 22, ¶ 46;
26	continue fair game, to be	Ex. 1(B), pp. 17, 18, ¶27; Ex.
27	himself a punching bag, or a	1(H), p. 18, ¶ 17.
28	willing victim, to be a tool	

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

of Scientology's obstruction

Page 99.

1	of justice or its suppression	
2	of our brothers; and would	
3	never agree to such a	
4	condition for any amount of	
5	money.	
6		
7	118. Other people who	118. Ex. 9, 4:4-5:13; Ex. 2,
8	understand Scientology's fair	17:13-26; Ex. 3, 3:20-4:8; Ex.
9	game philosophy and practices	4, 3:9-17; Ex. 5, 1:21-3; Ex.
10	also view Scientology's	6, 1:23-4:6; Ex, p. 3, ¶¶ 12-
11	interpretation of the	16.
12	settlement agreement	
13	unconscionable.	
14		
15	119. Nancy McLean and Margery	119. Ex. 1, 24:5-24; Ex. 4,
16	Wakefield, view the way	2:25-17; Ex. 4(B); Ex. 8,
17	Scientology is using the	2:22-25.
18	settlement agreements as so	
19	unconscionable that they have	
20	risked court orders and prison	
21	to continue to speak out	
22	against Scientology's	
23	antisocial practices.	
24		
25	120. On December 23, 1991, at	120. Exhibit 1(Y), Partial
26	the hearing of a motion	transcript of proceedings,
27	brought by Scientology in the	December 23, 1991, in
28	original Armstrong case to	Scientology v. Armstrong, Los
	enforce the settlement	Angeles Superior Court No. C
	Page 100.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMEN

agreement, Los Angeles
Superior Court Judge Bruce R.
Geernaert, stated regarding
the agreement:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"So my belief is Judge Breckenridge, being a very careful judge....if he had been presented that whole agreement and if he had been asked to order its performance, he would have dug his feet in because that is one .... I'll say one of the most ambiguous, one-sided agreements I have ever read. And I would not have ordered the enforcement of hardly any of the terms if I had been asked to, even on the threat that, okay the case is not settled. ¶ I know we like to settle cases. But we don't like to settle cases and, in effect, prostrate the court system into making an order which is not fair or in the public interest."

420153, at 52:5:19.

121. On February 19, 1992,

Page 101.

121. Exhibit 1(V), Letter from

fifteen days after Scientology Ford Greene to Laurie filed the instant case, 3 Armstrong's attorney Ford 4 Greene wrote to Scientology attorney Laurie Bartilson and 5 6 requested that Scientology 7 release Armstrong's attorneys 8 Michael Flynn, Bruce Bunch and 9 Julia Dragojevic from any 10 contract by Scientology which 11 prohibited them from providing Armstrong with a declaration 12 or otherwise assisting him in 13 14 this case. 15 122. On February 24, 1992, 16 17 Greene wrote to Bartilson and Ford Greene to Laurie requested that Scientology 18 19 release other settling 20 parties, specifically, Nancy Dincalci, Kima Douglas, 21 Michael Douglas, Robert 22 23 Dardano, Warren Friske, William Franks, Laurel 24 25 Sullivan, Edward Walters, 26 Howard Schomer, Martin

Samuels, Julie Christopherson,

Nancy McLean, Tonja Burden,

Gabe Cazares and Margery

Page 102.

27

28

Bartilson dated February 19, 1992.

122. Exhibit 1(W), Letter from Bartilson dated February 24, 1992.

1	Wakefield, from any contract	
2	by Scientology which	
3	prohibited them from providing	
4	Armstrong with a declaration	
5	or otherwise assisting him in	
6	this case.	
7		
8	123. On March 3, 1992	123. Exhibit 1(X), Letter from
9	Bartilson wrote to Greene,	Laurie Bartilson to Ford
10	refusing to release	Greene dated March 2, 1992.
11	Armstrong's attorneys or other	
12	settling parties. Scientology	
13	has refused throughout this	
14	litigation to release either	
15	Armstrong's attorneys or the	
16	settling parties from any	
17	contracts by which they are	
18	prohibited from assisting	
19	Armstrong.	
20		
21	124. Flynn recently told	124. Ex. 1(S), 4:26-6:23.
22	Armstrong that if he were free	
23	to do so he would testify	
24	that:	
25	Scientology prior to and	
26	during the time he was	
27	involved in litigation used	
28	the legal system and force	
	against perceived critics and	
- 11		

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 103.

targeted "enemies" to
eliminate ideas antithetical
to its own; that this went
under the heading "fair game,"
and included the concepts of
"attack the attacker," and
"black propaganda;" that
Scientology swore to give up
these practices and begged for
a settlement as a means of
obtaining an opportunity to
prove that it had given up
these practices; that, but for
Scientology's promise that it
was giving up all fair game
practices, he would never have
agreed to sign, nor had
Armstrong or any other client
agree to sign, Scientology's
settlement documents; that the
depth of his and Armstrong's
principles and extent of
dedication to the truth is
manifested by the successful
litigation of the case
Scientology v. Armstrong, Los
Angeles Superior Court No. C
420153; that intrinsic to the
agreement was the recognition

1	that the Armstrong cross-
2	complaint was about to go to
3	trial, that Scientology had
4	substantial liability therein,
5	and that there had been a
6	verdict of \$30,000,000 against
7	Scientology in the case of
8	Wollersheim v. Scientology,
9	also in LA Superior Court
10	within less than five months
11	of the December, 1986
12	settlement; that he was the
13	subject of fair game from 1979
14	through 1986, had been sued
15	fifteen times by Scientology,
16	had been harassed
17	unmercifully, framed,
18	threatened, his marriage
19	ruined and his family and
20	career threatened, and that he
21	was desperate to get out of
22	the fair game threat; that
23	there were no negotiations
24	concerning the liquidated
25	damages condition of the
26	settlement agreement; that
27	there were no negotiations
28	involving Armstrong; that he
	believed that, despite

1	Scientology's refusal to not	
2	include the condition, it was	
3	and is unenforceable, and told	
4	Armstrong so at the time; that	
5	there is no reasonable	
6	relationship between	
7	Scientology's actual damages	
8	and the liquidated damages;	
9	that the bargaining power of	
10	the parties was completely	
11	lopsided in Scientology's	
12	favor; that there was supposed	
13	to be a "clean slate," after	
14	the settlement; and, that if	
15	Scientology published anything	
16	about Armstrong after the	
17	settlement Armstrong was not	
18	prohibited from responding to	
19	such post-settlement	
20	statements.	
21		
22	125. On April 6, 1995,	125. Ex. 1(S).
23	Armstrong applied to Judge	
24	Gary W. Thomas ex parte for an	
25	order releasing Flynn from the	
26	contract by which Scientology	
27	prohibited him from helping	
28	Armstrong. Scientology opposed	
	Armstrong's application and	
- 11	II.	

Page 106.

1 Judge Thomas denied it. 2 3 ISSUE IV 4 Armstrong's Claim: Scientology's hands are unclean in this 5 transaction and Scientology is therefore barred from obtaining the 6 relief it seeks. 7 Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts, Additional 8 Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-125, supra. 9 126. In his decision after the 10 126. Ex. 1(A), 1:25-28. 11 1984 trial in the Armstrong 12 case Judge Breckenridge ruled that Scientology did not have 13 "clean hands," with respect to 14 Armstrong as a result of its 15 16 "suppressive person" declares 17 and the fair game actions which followed. 18 19 127. This decision was 20 127. Ex. 1(C). 21 affirmed in its totality by the Court of Appeal in 1991, 22 which also noted that the 23 24 "declares" subjected Armstrong to, the "Fair Game Doctrine," 25 "which permits a suppressive 26 27 person to be "tricked, sued or lied to or destroyed...[or] 28

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

deprived of property or

Page 107.

1	injured by any means by any	
2	Scientologist."	
3		
4	128. Following the	128. Ex. 1, 5:5-6:19; Ex.
5	Breckenridge decision,	1(G), 6:13-7:7; Ex. 1(G)(M);
6	Scientology continued to	Ex. 1(G)(N); Ex. 1(G)(0); Ex.
7	subject Armstrong to fair	1(B), p. 1, ¶ 2; Ex. 1(B)(O),
8	game.	3:15-8:16; Ex. 1(B)(P), 6:4-
9		11:12.
10		
11	129. Scientology subjected	129. Ex. 1, 6:20-7:7; Ex.
12	Armstrong's attorney Michael	1(G), 9:6-24; Ex. 1(B), p. 1,
13	Flynn to fair game.	¶ 2, pp. 3,4,5 ¶8, ¶11; Ex.
14		1(B)(O), pp. 60-74; Ex. 1(H),
15		pp. 8,9, ¶ 12; Exhibit 7, p.
16		4, ¶16; Ex. 1(G)(L), pp. 4,5,
17		(5); Ex. 1(G)(M); Ex. 1(G)(N);
18		Ex. 1(G)(O).
19		
20	130. Scientology promised to	130. Ex. 1, 9:1-15; Ex. 1(B),
21	discontinue fair game against	p. 4, ¶9, p. 5, ¶11, pp. 17,
22	Armstrong and others as an	18, ¶¶ 27; Ex. 1(G), 9:25-
23	<pre>inducement for settlement;</pre>	10:4, 11:15-17; Exhibit 1(S),
24	then reneged on that promise	5:10-22. Armstrong
25	and have continued fair game	specifically repeats and
26	against Armstrong since the	includes herein his evidence
27	settlement.	in additional facts Nos. 105
		1 105

and 107, supra.

speaking publicly about his experiences in Scientology, Scientology engaged him in public controversy by publishing and disseminating its own versions of his experiences, and has continued thereafter to publish and disseminate its versions of his experiences.

131. Armstrong specifically repeats and includes herein his evidence in additional facts Nos. 105 and 107, supra.

## ISSUE V

Armstrong's Claim: The settlement agreement and Scientology's enforcement thereof are obstructive of justice.

Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts, Additional Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-131, supra.

132. Scientology claims that
by the settlement it was free
to comment upon Armstrong's
statements, and that Armstrong
could not respond to
Scientology's post-settlement
comments.

132. Ex. 1, 15:1-3; Ex.

1(B)(F), 34:26-35-13;

Scientology's motions for summary adjudication of 20th cause of action, and 13th,

16th, 17th and 19th causes of action of second amended complaint filed herein.

133. Scientology characterized

133. Ex. 1(B)(E),

Page 109.

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Armstrong's statements, which 1 Scientology's Opposition of 2 it claims it is free to Defendants to Motion for an 3 comment on, as "often bizarre Order Directing Nonallegations." Interference with Witnesses 4 5 and Disqualification of 6 Counsel, filed March 27, 1990 7 in Corydon, supra, 14:25-15:1. 8 134. Lawrence Heller testified 9 134. Ex. 1(B)(F), 35:2-4. 10 that "[a]t the time of the Armstrong settlement, 11 12 information from Mr. Armstrong was being used in a number of 13 14 cases around the world." 15 135. Scientology staff member 16 135. Exhibit 1(BB), 17 Kenneth Long stated in a Declaration of Kenneth D. Long in support of plaintiff's declaration executed January 18 19 reply in support of motion for 19, 1995 that prior to summary adjudication of the 20 December, 1986, Armstrong had 21 testified in 15 cases a total fourth, sixth and eleventh causes of action of 22 of 28 trial days, had been 23 deposed for 19 days, and had plaintiff's second amended complaint, filed herein executed 28 declarations in 15 24 cases all of which concerned January 20 1995, 1:24-28. 25 Scientology and its related 26 entities. 27 28 136. In the same declaration 136. Ex. 1(BB), 1:20:22.

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 110.

1	Long describes Armstrong as,
2	inter alia, "an anti-Church
3	litigant and a professional
4	witness against the Church in
5	other litigation" and "a
6	paralegal who worked
7	extensively on anti-Church
8	cases."
9	
10	137. In Armstrong's expert 137. Ex. 1, 15:10-20.
11	opinion, "[t]he whole set of
12	"settlement agreements," which
13	are commonly known as the
14	"Flynn agreements," are unfair
15	to anyone who litigates either
16	as a defendant or plaintiff
17	against Scientology, since
18	these agreements remove
19	knowledgeable witnesses from
20	the legal arena and drive up
21	litigation costs. The
22	"agreements" are also unfair
23	to the public because they
24	allow Scientology's leaders to
25	rewrite history, lie about
26	judicially credited
27	information, attack the
28	sources of that information
	without response, and convey

the idea that it is futile to speak the truth or oppose their tyranny. These "agreements" obstruct justice."

## ISSUE VI

Armstrong's Claim: All of Armstrong's experiences concerning which Scientology seeks to silence him are religious in nature and the silencing of the expression of such experiences by court order is completely barred by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts, Additional Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-137, supra.

138. Scientology claims to be

a religion.

139. Scientology claims in its

By-Laws to be "an association

of persons having incorporated

exclusively for religious

purposes under the laws of the

State of California as the

same relate to Nonprofit
Religious Corporations."

138. Plaintiff's Evidence:

Request for Judicial Notice, Exhibit A, face. All papers

filed by Scientology herein.

139. Exhibit 1(CC), Revised
By-Laws of Church of

Scientology International. p.

1, preamble.

1	140. In its By-Laws	140. Ex. 1(CC), p. 3, ¶ c.
2	Scientology defines "Religion	
3	of Scientology" and	
4	"Scientology" as "the	
5	religious doctrines, beliefs,	
6	tenets, practices, applied	
7	religious philosophy and	
8	technology for its application	
9	as developed by L. Ron Hubbard	
10	and as the same may hereafter	
11	be developed by L. Ron	
12	Hubbard."	
13		
14	141. In its By-Laws	141. Ex. 1(CC), p. 3, ¶ d.
15	Scientology defines	
16	"Scriptures" as "the writings	
17	and recorded spoken words of	
18	L. Ron Hubbard with respect to	
19	Scientology and organizations	
20	formed for the purposes	
21	thereof."	
22		
23	142. Scientology's By-Laws	142. Ex. 1(CC), p. 4, Article
24	state that the purposes of "a	III.
25	Church of Scientology: "The	
26	corporation shall espouse,	
27	<pre>present, propagate, practice,</pre>	
28	ensure and maintain the purity	
	and integrity of, the religion	
	Page 113.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMEN

1	of Scientology, as the same	
2	has been developed and may	
3	further be developed by L. Ron	
4	Hubbard."	
5	5	
6	143. Scientology's By-Laws 143	3. Ex. 1(CC), p. 28, § 3.
7	state that the purposes of its	
8	"religious orders" shall be	
9	the carrying out of the	
10	religious and administrative	
11	activities of [Scientology],"	
12	the "supervision of	
13	ecclesiastical affairs of	
14	other churches of	
15	Scientology."	
16	5	
17	144. Armstrong was a member of 144	4. Ex. 1, 27:23-27.
18	Scientology's "religious	
19	order," the Sea Organization,	
20	members of which sign a	
21	billion year contract, from	
22	1971 through 1981, the period	
23	of almost all of his	
24	significant experiences about	
25	which Scientology seeks to	
26	silence him.	
27	,	
28	145. Armstrong believes that 145	5. Ex. 1, 27:10-13.
	his experiences in Scientology	
	Page 114.	etrong's separate statement re summary judgmen

1 are religious, indeed sacred, 2 because they were created and motivated by God for His 3 4 Glory. 5 6 146. Armstrong believes that 146. Ex. 1, 2:28-3:23. 7 through God's Grace and Wisdom 8 he came to see that the faith 9 he put in Hubbard, and in his 10 philosophy, mental "science" and organizational policies, 11 12 throughout his Scientology 13 years was misplaced. He came to see that despite that 14 15 misplaced faith, God never 16 deserted him, that God was with him, keeping him safe 17 18 every moment. Armstrong 19 believes that throughout his 20 Scientology years, through 21 abuse, danger, and betrayal, He kept his heart from being 22 hardened beyond salvation. 23 Armstrong believes that God 24 25 kept him from being completely 26 taken over by Scientology through all the years of 27 28 indoctrination, mind control,

"ethics," threat and

1	punishment, and through the		
2	more than a thousand hours of		
3	"auditing." Armstrong		
4	believes that when Hubbard		
5	assigned him twice to the		
6	Rehabilitation Project Force		
7	(RPF), Scientology's prison,		
8	for a total of twenty-five		
9	months, when Hubbard had his		
10	messengers order Armstrong's		
11	wife to leave him, when he was		
12	ordered security checked for		
13	questioning Hubbard's		
14	truthfulness, God brought him		
15	through safely, made him		
16	stronger and wiser, and kept		
17	his heart from hardening.		
18	Armstrong believes that he was		
19	so deeply involved with		
20	Scientology, so devoted to an		
21	ungodly man and his ungodly		
22	teaching, only God's Own		
23	leading him, through His		
24	mysterious way, could have		
25	saved him. Armstrong believes		
26	that God led him into the		
27	Hubbard archive and biography		
28	<pre>project, brought him to study</pre>		
	the Hubbard's secret papers		

and document his duplicity, 1 2 and freed Armstrong's faith from Scientology, on which it 3 had been misplaced. 4 5 6 147. Armstrong believes that 147. Ex. 1, 4:5-5:1. in his post-Scientology 7 period, when first in the 8 outside world, confused and 9 afraid, God took him in His 10 Hands for particular care and 11 12 teaching. Armstrong believes that when Scientology's 13 leaders sent hired private 14 investigators to spy on him 15 16 and his wife, and to terrorize 17 them, God kept him, emotionally intact. Armstrong 18 believes that at the first 19 hearing in his case in 1982, 20 God somehow put into his hands 21 a tiny tract of Bible quotes 22 which he held and put his 23 24 heart on as fear gripped at 25 him. Throughout the 1984 26 trial, where Armstrong was on 27 the stand for about ten days, he depended on the Twenty-28

third Psalm to calm his mind

1 and heart. 2 3 148. Armstrong believes that 148. Ex. 1, 5:14-6:1. for His Purposes God allowed 4 5 him to be terrorized by 6 Scientology agents, his car 7 broken into, his drawings and 8 writings stolen, other 9 writings and ideas of his 10 perverted and held up to 11 ridicule. Armstrong believes 12 that God allowed Scientology's 13 leaders to become intoxicated 14 by their own lies so that they would try time after time to 15 16 have Armstrong jailed on their 17 false and manufactured 18 criminal charges. Armstrong 19 believes that God allowed the 20 self-deception of Scientology's leaders, so that 21 22 they concocted a perverse 23 intelligence scheme to entrap Armstrong in a crime and have 24 25 him prosecuted. Armstrong believes that God allowed 26 Scientology's leaders to put 27 their faith in a base private 28

investigator, Eugene Ingram,

1	whom they would use to
2	illegally videotape Armstrong,
3	and who threatened to put a
4	bullet between Armstrong's
5	eyes. Armstrong believes that
6	God allowed the heart of an
7	Los Angeles Police Department
8	Officer, Philip Rodriguez, to
9	be tempted by greed, and paid
10	for a false authorization to
11	Scientology to illegally
12	videotape Armstrong.
13	Armstrong believes that God
14	allowed Armstrong's friend Dan
15	Sherman to use their
16	friendship to betray
17	Armstrong, to lead him with
18	kind words into danger, to set
19	him up, to trick from him his
20	thoughts and writings, and to
21	break his heart.
22	
23	149. Armstrong believes that 149. Ex. 1, 6:20-7:3.
24	God allowed Scientology's
25	leaders to attack Michael
26	Flynn, Armstrong's attorney,
27	good friend, benefactor and
28	champion in the legal battle.
	Armstrong believes that God

Page 119.

1	allowed Scientology to sue
2	Flynn some fifteen times, to
3	threaten him, his family and
4	career, to frame him with
5	forgery, to pay known
6	criminals to bear false
7	witness against him, and to
8	attempt his assassination.
9	Armstrong believes that God
10	allowed Scientology's leaders
11	to think they could destroy
12	Flynn with their "black
13	propaganda," "dead agent"
14	packs, "noisy investigations,"
15	and the compromise and turning
16	of other clients. Scientology
17	worked for seven years to
18	achieve this destruction.
19	Armstrong believes that God
20	brought him to Flynn, and
21	Flynn to Armstrong, and
22	brought them to fight
23	alongside each other in a
24	legal and spiritual battle
25	against the threat and evil of
26	Hubbard and his organization
27	from 1982 through 1986.

28

150. Armstrong believes that

150. Ex. 1, 7:8:15.

1	during the years of the	
2	Scientology battle he was	
3	brought by God ever closer to	
4	Him. Armstrong believes that	
5	when he was alone, at times in	
6	terrible fear, God kept him	
7	safe, and allowed his heart to	
8	break, over and over.	
9	Armstrong believes that God	
10	spoke to him, and that at	
11	times, as early as 1983, he	
12	wrote God's Words under His	
13	guidance in what seemed to be	
14	dialogues. Armstrong believes	
15	that God gave him the idea for	
16	the true protection of His	
17	Children in this world, and	
18	moved Armstrong in 1986 to	
19	found a church based on this	
20	concept for His Glory.	
21		
22	151. Armstrong believes that,	151. Ex. 1, 7:24-8:13.
23	at a time when Scientology	
24	faced tremendous exposure and	
25	liability in Armstrong's	
26	cross-complaint for years of	
27	outrageous fair game attacks,	
28	and had just suffered a	
	\$30,000,000 verdict in the	
	Page 121.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMM
	CONTROL OF THE CONTRO	

1	case of Lawrence Wollersheim
2	v. Scientology, Los Angeles
3	Superior Court No. C 332027,
4	God, for His Glory, allowed
5	Flynn to lose heart. Flynn
6	"negotiated" a deal with
7	Scientology which involved
8	getting his clients to agree
9	to the organization's demanded
10	contractual condition of
11	silence about their
12	"experiences," while not
13	demanding the same protection
14	for his clients. Many of
15	these clients had been the
16	target of Scientology's "black
17	propaganda" campaigns. He
18	also agreed to get his clients
19	to agree to a "liquidated
20	damages" penalty of \$50,000
21	per comment about their
22	experiences. Flynn did this
23	while believing, and having
24	ample experience to justify
25	believing, that the settlement
26	agreements were evil, as was
27	the entity which was insisting
28	on the "agreements" being
	signed as a condition of

1	settlement. Flynn knew
2	Scientology's word was not to
3	be trusted, yet he conveyed
4	and gave support to
5	Scientology's "promise" that
6	it was going to discontinue
7	fair game.
8	
9	152. Armstrong believes that 152. Ex. 1, 8:14-28.
10	God allowed Scientology to
11	harass, threaten and
12	compromise Flynn, and allowed
13	Flynn to be persecuted and
14	compromised, for His Purpose
15	to His Own Glory. Armstrong
16	believes that God allowed
17	Flynn to state to Armstrong,
18	when Armstrong protested the
19	impossibility of the
20	settlement agreement and the
21	insanity of the liquidated
22	damages clause, "Gerry, it's
23	not worth the paper it's
24	printed on. It's
25	unenforceable. You can't
26	contract away your
27	Constitutional rights."
28	Armstrong believes that God
	allowed Flynn to point out to
1	

Armstrong his release of 1 2 Scientology and Armstrong's dismissal of his lawsuit, and allowed Flynn to say, "That's 4 5 what they're paying you for." 6 7 153. Armstrong believes that 8 God made him aware of His Spirit during the "settlement" 9 when he was rejected from Mike 10 Flynn's heart. Armstrong 11 believes that God showed him a 12 glimpse of the future at that 13 moment; that he might be left 14 alone, that he might be 15 persecuted, but that he should 16 not fear; and that he should 17 at that time give everyone 18 19 involved what they wanted, what they thought they needed 20 to be free. Because of 21 Flynn's promise of the 22 23 agreement's unenforceability, 24 Armstrong's desire to end the 25 threat if possible for everyone, Scientology's 26 27 promise to end fair game, and what he believed was God's Assurance, Armstrong did sign.

Page 124.

153. Ex. 1, 9:16-25.

154. Armstrong believes that 1 2 after the "settlement," God gave him a time of some quiet 3 4 and joy to write, draw, get strong after years of 5 deteriorating health, to hang 6 7 out with friends, and to be drawn ever closer to Him. 8 Armstrong believes that in 9 1987 God chose him and came to 10 him in the undeniable Physical 11 Substance of His Love, and 12 13 gave Armstrong a greater view of his future role in God's 14 Plan. Armstrong believes that 15 in 1988 God brought him to 16 offer his life in exchange for 17 18 the captives then held in Lebanon. Armstrong believes 19 20 that God schooled him in the understanding of His Nature 21 and set Armstrong on the path 22 to become by 1989 one of His 23 Teachers. Armstrong believes 24 25 that in 1989 God also gave 26 him an understanding of the valuelessness of money, and a 27 glimpse of God's solution for 28 the grinding cruelty of the

154. Ex. 1, 9:26-10:11; Ex. 1(H), p. 38, ¶ 28, pp. 39-41, ¶ 30; Exhibit 1(H)(X), Advertisements by Gerald Armstrong in "Common Ground," in 1989; Exhibit 1(H)(R)(CC), Letter from Gerald Armstrong to Jonathan Marshall dated July 28, 1987; letter from Gerald Armstrong to "Dear Captors" dated July 28, 1987; Exhibit 1(H)(R)(DD), Writing by Gerald Armstrong dated December 31, 1987; 1(H)(R)(EE), "Margaret," drawing by Gerald Armstrong; 1(H)(R)(FF), "Mitzi," drawing by Gerald Armstrong; Exhibit 1(H)(S), Letter from Gerald Armstrong to Jonathan Marshall dated October 14, 1989 with article "A Crash Course in Speculation."

1	world's economic system.	
2	Armstrong believes that God	
3	brought to him a glory of	
4	four-leaf clovers. Armstrong	
5	believes that God showed	
6	Armstrong that through his	
7	life God could bring to the	
8	world the mathematical proof	
9	of His guidance. Armstrong	
10	believes that God moved him to	
11	run like the wind, and to pick	
12	up the world's trash, all for	
13	His Glory.	
14		
15	155. Armstrong believes that	155. Ex. 1, 10:12-11:20.
16	during the post-settlement	
17	years, God also allowed the	
18	hearts of Scientology's	
19	leaders to grow ever harder	
20	and to manifest in attack	
21	after attack on Armstrong's	
22	character and credibility. It	
23	became clear, and saddened	
24	Armstrong greatly, that these	
25	leaders had not stopped "fair	
26	game," but were using the	
27	cessation of the litigation by	
28	Flynn and his clients as an	
	opportunity to continue their	
	Page 126.	armstrong's separate statement re summary ju

1	antisocial practices
2	unchecked. Armstrong believes
3	that God allowed his heart to
4	be broken by each attack and
5	the daily knowledge that
6	Scientology had not ceased
7	fair game, yet God kept
8	Armstrong from responding with
9	anything other than sadness
10	for almost three years. Then,
11	in the fall of 1989, Armstrong
12	was served with a deposition
13	subpoena by the attorney for
14	Bent Corydon in the case of
15	Corydon v. Scientology, Los
16	Angeles Superior Court No.
17	C694401. Following this
18	Armstrong received a series of
19	calls from Scientology
20	attorney Lawrence Heller who
21	threatened that, even pursuant
22	to this subpoena, if Armstrong
23	testified about his knowledge
24	of Hubbard and Scientology he
25	would be sued. Armstrong was
26	deeply troubled by Heller's
27	threats, the idea of
28	succumbing to those threats,
	and the injustice and evil the

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	what he could to bring to	
6	light and correct that	
7	injustice and evil. When	
8	Armstrong began to research	
9	his rights, responsibilities	
10	and how to proceed, he learned	
11	that through the intervening	
12	five years Scientology had	
13	been able to maintain an	
14	appeal, <u>Scientology v.</u>	
15	Armstrong, No. B025920, from	
16	the 1984 Breckenridge	
17	decision, and Armstrong's	
18	first actions concerned that	
19	appeal.	
20		
21	156. Around March 12 and 1	.56. Ex. 1, 24:25-25:14.
22	continuing for about two weeks	
23	Armstrong experienced what he	
24	believes was both his	
25	spiritual death and his	
26	rebirth, brought on him by	
27	God. Armstrong believes that	
28	God showed him the nature of	
	the evil that he had been	
	Page 128.	armstroeg's separate statement re summary

1 chosen by God to oppose, and 2 God showed him the spiritual 3 battle with that evil. 4 Armstrong believes that God 5 showed him that persecution 6 must be endured for His Cause, 7 and God assured Armstrong that He would never leave him. God 8 9 showed Armstrong the souls he 10 fought for, and why God chose him to fight through all those 11 12 years. Armstrong believes that God brought him to 13 surrender his battle to Him 14 that His Will be done, for 15 16 unless God does it Armstrong 17 hasn't got a prayer. Armstrong believes that he 18 will run whatever race God 19 calls him to run as fast and 20 as far as God moves him. 21 22 Armstrong believes that sometimes God will appear to 23 lose the race on earth to win 24 it in Heaven. 25

26

27

28

## ISSUE VII

Armstrong's Claim: All of Armstrong's activities which Scientology claims are violations of the subject agreement are

religiously motivated and completely protected by the First
Amendment, and the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993.

Armstrong incorporates herein his Disputed Facts, Additional Facts and Evidentiary Support Nos. 1-156, supra.

157. Armstrong is a Christian.

157. Ex. 1, 1:22, 1:23-30:31; Ex. 1(H), pp. 30-32, ¶ 25, p. 42, ¶ 33, pp. 52, ¶ 43; Ex. 1(J), 1:18-28, 3:14-27; Ex. 1(J)(C), Declaration of Michael Rinder executed October 27, 1994, and filed in Scientology v. Steven Fishman & Uwe Geertz, US District Court for the Central District of California No. 91-6426-HLH (Tx), at 11:9-11; Scientology's Evidence, Ex. 1Q, letter of Gerald Armstrong dated December 22, 1992, at p. 9; Scientology's Evidence, Ex. 10, letter of Gerald Armstrong dated June 21, 1991; Scientology's Evidence, Ex. 1N, Declaration of Gerald Armstrong executed July, 1991, at pp. 7-9,  $\P\P$  6,7.

158. Armstrong believes that

158. Ex. 1, 1:24-2:4.

Page 130.

27

28

1	his life, in every moment and	
2	breath, is God's and in God's	
3	Hands. Armstrong believes	
4	that he has been saved from	
5	eternal separation and hell to	
6	become a son of God by God's	
7	Grace alone, and drawn by God	
8	to trust and follow His Son	
9	Jesus Christ as his Lord and	
10	Savior. Armstrong believes he	
11	has been filled with God's	
12	Holy Spirit, and given by Him	
13	all peace, wisdom and love.	
14	Armstrong believes that he has	
15	been saved for God's Purposes	
16	to His Glory. Armstrong	
17	believes that God's Purpose	
18	for him and all the world is	
19	salvation. Armstrong believes	
20	that the only difference of	
21	any meaning at any time	
22	between him and anyone else on	
23	earth is this belief in God's	
24	Plan for salvation.	
25		
26	159. Armstrong believes that 1	59. Ex. 1, 2:5-10.
27	as a Christian and as a son of	
28	God he has been led and will	
	be led into all of his life's	
	Page 131.	ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT

1	situations and to all of the	
2	people he has encountered and	
3	will encounter for God's	
4	Purposes alone. Armstrong	
5	believes that from God come	
6	all things, including the	
7	trust in Him, the willingness	
8	to believe on Him, free will,	
9	peace, wisdom and love.	
10		
11	160. Scientology professes in	160. Ex. 1, 2:22-28, 25:15-23;
12	its public promotions and	Ex. 2, 12:23-13:15, 15:5-8;
13	publications to be compatible	Ex. 5, 2:4-8; Ex. 6, 3:17-20.
14	with Christianity.	
15		
16	161. Scientology states in its	161. Ex. 1, 25:16-23.
17	"catechism," published in 1992	
18	in its promotional book What	
19	is Scientology?,	
20	"Scientologists	
21	hold the Bible as a	
22	holy work and have	
23	no argument with the	
24	Christian belief	
25	that Jesus Christ	
26	was the Savior of	
27	Mankind and the Son	
28	of God¶There are	
	probably many types	

of redemption. That 1 2 of Christ was to heaven." 3 4 5 162. In fact, however, 162. Ex. 1, 25:15-26:24; Ex. Scientology is anti-Christian. 1(J), 2:1-23; Ex. 2, 13:16-6 7 16:21; Ex. 3, 1:19-2:16; Ex. 8 4, 1:19-2:10; Ex. 4(A); Ex. 6, 9 3:20-4:2. 10 11 163. Once initiated into 163. Ex. 1, 25:24-26:24; Ex. Scientology, people are 2, 13:16-16:21; Ex. 2(B), 12 secretly taught, and must "Routine 3 Heaven" Scientology 13 14 believe, that Christ, God and Bulletin by L. Ron Hubbard 15 Heaven are false ideas dated May 11, 1963; Ex. 2(C), "implanted" in humans by "Resistive Cases Former 16 17 electronic means to enslave Therapy" Scientology Bulletin them. by L. Ron Hubbard dated 18 19 September 23, 1968; Ex. 3, 20 1:19-2:15; Ex. 3(A); Ex. 3(B); 21 Ex. 4(A); Ex. 1(J)(A), "Operating Thetan Section 22 III," by L. Ron Hubbard 23 (Handwritten and Typed 24 Versions) at Bates stamped 25 pages 700684, 700707. 26 27 28 164. Scientology secretly 164. Ex. 1, 25:24-26:24; Ex. teaches its initiated 2, 13:16-16:21; Ex. 2(B); Ex.

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 133.

1	adherents that its "auditing"	2(C); Ex. 3, 1:19-2:15; Ex.
2	procedures are the only way to	3(A); Ex. 3(B); Ex. 4(A).
3	free mankind from "Christian"	
4	slavery and the "Creator of	
5	Heaven."	
6		
7	165. The main target of	165. Ex. 1, 26:2-8.
8	Scientology's promotion and	
9	marketing are Christians. The	
10	largest percentage of	
11	Scientology's members come	
12	from Christian backgrounds.	
13	The second largest percentage	
14	comes from Judaism.	
15		
16	166. Scientology enforces the	166. Ex. 1, 26:8-24; Ex. 2,
17	acceptance of its teachings	15:4-11; Ex. 3, 2:5-16.
18	that Christ, God and Heaven	
19	are false "implanted" ideas	
20	with Scientology's system of	
21	"ethics" punishments, its	
22	"auditing procedures," and its	
23	institutionalized mockery of	
24	God and Christ. Anyone in	
25	Scientology who professed a	
26	belief in Christ, or God, or	
27	who sought help through	
28	prayer, was viewed and handled	
	as a "psychotic."	

1	1 167. Jesus states at Mark	57. E	x. 1,	26:25-27:5.
2	3:28,29:			
3	3 "28 Verily I			
4	say unto you. All			
5	sins shall be			
6	forgiven unto the			
7	sons of men, and			
8	blasphemies			
9	wherewith soever			
10	they shall			
11	blaspheme:			
12	2 29 But he			
13	that shall blaspheme			
14	against the Holy			
15	Ghost hath never			
16	forgiveness, but is			
17	in danger of eternal			
18	damnation."			
19				
20	168. Armstrong believes that	58. E	x. 1,	27:6-8.
21	when Hubbard asserts that			
22	Christ and God are "implants,"			
23	he blasphemes the Holy Spirit,			
24	the one unforgivable sin.			
25	5			
26	169. Armstrong believes that 16	59. E	x. 1,	27:8-9.
27	people drawn into Scientology			
28	and brought to adopt this			
	blasphemy are in grave			
- 1	II .			

spiritual danger. 2 3 170. Armstrong believes that 170. Ex. 1, 3:24-4:7. Scientology is the clever human invention of a clever human who took his human 6 7 cleverness as far as it would 8 go for his own glorification. Armstrong believes that God 9 used Armstrong to accomplish 10 11 part of God's Plan for Scientology, Scientologists 12 and salvation. Armstrong 13 14 believes that it took someone with a God-given history, 15 character and skills such as 16 He gave Armstrong to bring out 17 18 of Scientology, to the light, and to the minds and hearts of 19 all those whom God sent to 20 listen, a testimony of the 21 character of Scientology's 22 product and "source." 23 Armstrong believes that God 24 continues to use him to 25 reflect the unworthiness and 26 bankruptcy of Hubbard's 27 attempt to create his own 28

salvation plan, against the

infallibility and peaceful 2 grandeur of God's Plan. 3 4 171. Margery Wakefield, who 171. Ex. 4, 1:19-2:24. 5 also signed a "Flynn agreement," believes that she 6 7 is saved by the Grace of God 8 through her faith in His Son Jesus Christ. She believes 9 that she was called to speak 10 out concerning the illegal 11 practices of Scientology, its 12 mind control techniques, and 13 14 its anti-Christian nature and teachings. Ms. Wakefield 15 16 recently wrote an essay 17 entitled "What Christians Need To Know About Scientology." 18 19 She has been motivated in 20 speaking her thoughts based on her knowledge and experiences 21 by the desire to reach the 22 minds of people who are in 23 Scientology and held by its 24 anti-Christian mind control 25 and pseudo-scientific dogma, 26 and the minds of people who 27 might be drawn into 28

Scientology by its

Page 137.

1	misrepresentations concerning
2	its intentions, practices and
3	religion. She believes that
4	it is every Christian's
5	motivation and desire to reach
6	the unsaved with the message
7	of the true gospel and a
8	warning about false teachers
9	like L. Ron Hubbard and false
10	gospels like Scientology. She
11	has felt that the right to
12	speak and teach in this way is
13	something that no court in
14	this country should nor can
15	take away. Ms. Wakefield
16	believes that under the US
17	Constitution she is free to
18	speak and cannot contract away
19	her right to speak about those
20	Scientology's "religious"
21	scriptures, practices and
22	experiences. She believes
23	that what she experienced in
24	Scientology was her own
25	religious experiences, and
26	what she experienced regarding
27	Scientology after leaving are
28	her own religious experiences,
	about which she cannot be

1 silenced.

2

4

8

3 | 172. Keith Scott has a

Christian ministry called the

5 Cults Awareness Ministry. A

6 | vital aspect of his ministry

7 | is to offer advice born of

experience to people who are

9 going in or thinking about

10 coming out of Scientology. He

11 does this work from a

12 Christian perspective, using

13 the strength and truths he has

14 gained through his faith in

15 Jesus Christ as his Lord and

16 | Savior. Mr. Scott exposes the

17 | untruths of Scientology and

18 explains the dangers to the

19 | spiritual well-being and

20 | future of people who follow

21 those untruths rather than the

22 truths of the Word of God. Mr.

23 | Scott believes that he was

24 saved from the manipulation

25 and mind control of

26 Scientology by the Grace of

27 God recognized through his

28 | faith in His Son, Jesus

Christ.

Page 139.

172. Ex. 5, 1:12-2:16.

1		
2	173. Other knowledgeable	173. Ex. 2, 8:27-9:20; pp.
3	people use the information	8,9, ¶¶ 14,15; Ex. 3, 3:20-
4	about Hubbard, his philosophy	4:8; Ex. 5, 1:4-2:4; Ex. 6,
5	and practices which Armstrong	4:3-6; Ex. 7, pp. 1,3, ¶¶
6	brought to the light, to	6,13; Ex. 9, 4:4-5:13.
7	educate and free the	
8	misinformed.	
9		
10	174. Armstrong believes that	174. Ex. 1, 29:13-19.
11	as Christ taught, and as a	
12	child of God, Armstrong's	
13	practice is forgiveness.	
14	Armstrong believes that as all	
15	that he has done has by Christ	
16	been forgiven, Armstrong has	
17	forgiven everything anyone has	
18	ever done to me, every act or	
19	thought of persecution.	
20	Armstrong believes that what	
21	he cannot forgive, however,	
22	for he has not the power to	
23	forgive it, is Hubbard's,	
24	Scientology's leaders'	
25	Scientologist's and anyone	
26	else's blasphemy of God's Holy	
27	Spirit.	
28		

Page 140.

175. Armstrong believes that

175. Ex.1, 29:20-30:23.

ARMSTRONG'S SEPARATE STATEMENT RE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1 Scientologists will not 2 recognize their need for forgiveness as long as they 3 blaspheme the Holy Spirit, and 4 they will persecute Armstrong 5 as long as they commit and 6 7 promote this blasphemy. 8 Armstrong asks them to stop. Armstrong believes that when 9 Scientology persecutes the 10 11 "little ones," those who are the least among us, those whom 12 13 Scientology's leaders call "suppressive persons," "PTSes" 14 15 or "degraded beings" Scientology persecutes Christ 16 17 Himself. Armstrong asks them to stop this practice as well. 18 Armstrong believes that God 19 20 for His Purposes chose 21 Armstrong to be persecuted; 22 and to care and hurt when the 23 little ones are persecuted. Armstrong cares what 24 Scientology does to him 25 because he believes 26 27 Scientology is doing it and 28 will do it to anyone else. Armstrong believes that is to

1 all of these who are 2 persecuted, and to all those 3 in Scientology, that God has 4 sent him. Armstrong believes that we are in the end times, 5 and that God has sent His messengers, teachers and 7 8 prophets onto His Elect, 9 wherever they are, in whatever 10 country, city, prison, church or cult, to gather them onto 11 12 Himself. Armstrong believes that God chose him to be 13 14 persecuted by Scientology's leaders, using their 15 organization's tax-exempt 16 millions, and in violation of 17 18 the nation's Constitution, as 19 Apostles of old were persecuted, and all God's 20 Disciples have been persecuted 21 throughout history. Armstrong 22 believes that this need not 23 be, for persecution can end in 24 no time and without downside. 25 Armstrong believes, 26 nevertheless, God allows and 27 28 uses the persecution of His Children, His Messengers,

1	Teachers and Prophets to prove
2	His great Mercy and Love and
3	the power of His marvelous
4	plan of salvation, both for
5	the persecutors and those
6	persecuted. Armstrong
7	believes that God knows which
8	souls He will reach through
9	Armstrong's words, story and
10	persecution. Armstrong
11	believes that they may be few;
12	nevertheless, God desires that
13	all should be saved.
14	
15	
16	Dated: September 16, 1995
17	
18	
- 1	

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald Armstrong